

California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report

January - December 2000



Gray Davis, Governor
State of California

Grantland Johnson, Secretary
Health and Human Services Agency

Diana M. Bontá, R.N., Dr.P.H., Director
Department of Health Services



July 2002

The Office of AIDS HIV Counseling, Testing, and Training Section oversees state-funded HIV counseling and testing throughout California. The counseling and testing performed by these programs is reported to the Office of AIDS monthly. The HIV Prevention Research and Evaluation Section compiles this information and distributes it to interested parties in the form of annual reports. Presented in this report are a feature article, an overview of the 2000 HIV counseling and testing data, and detailed tables of the 2000 data.

State of California	Gray Davis, Governor
Health and Human Services Agency	Grantland Johnson, Secretary
Department of Health Services	Diana M. Bontá, R.N., Dr.P.H., Director
Prevention Services	Kevin Reilly, D.V.M., M.P.V.M., Deputy Director
Office of AIDS	Michael Montgomery, Chief
HIV Education and Prevention Services Branch	Harold Rasmussen, Chief
HIV Prevention Research and Evaluation Section	Steven R. Truax, Ph.D., Chief
	David S. Webb, M.S., Research Analyst
	Christine Heusner, M.A., Research Scientist

This report and past annual reports are made available via the Internet at:
<http://www.dhs.ca.gov/AIDS/>

California HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report

January – December 2000

Prepared by the

Department of Health Services
Office of AIDS
HIV Education and Prevention Services Branch
HIV Prevention Research and Evaluation Section
611 North Seventh Street, Suite A
Sacramento, CA 95814-0208
(916) 445-0553
<http://www.dhs.ca.gov/AIDS>

GRAY DAVIS
Governor
State of California

Grantland Johnson
Secretary
Health and Human Services Agency

Diana M. Bontá, R.N., Dr.P.H.
Director
Department of Health Services

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	iii
Feature Article.....	1
The Window Period Re-examined: An Update for HIV Counselors.....	1
Summary of 2000 HIV Counseling and Testing at Publicly Funded Sites.....	4
Office of AIDS Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services: Client Profile.....	6
HIV Counseling and Testing Services Funded By Non-Office of AIDS Sources.....	12
Office of AIDS Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services: Quality Assurance Indicators.....	13
HIV Counseling and Testing Tables.....	19
Guide to HIV Counseling and Testing Tables.....	19
All Services—Office of AIDS Funded and Non-Office of AIDS Funded.....	21
Table 1: OA-Funded Counseling and Testing Services by Year, Quarter and Month.....	21
Table 2: Non-OA-Funded Counseling and Testing Services by Year, Quarter and Month.....	22
Table 3: OA-Funded Counseling and Testing Services by Program and Clinic Type.....	23
Table 4: Non-OA-Funded Counseling and Testing Services by Program and Clinic Type.....	24
Table 5: OA-Funded Counseling and Testing Services by Client Risk Behavior and Gender.....	25
Table 6: Non-OA-Funded Counseling and Testing Services by Client Risk Behavior and Gender.....	27
All Services—Office of AIDS Funded.....	29
Table 7: HIV Test Results by Race and Gender.....	29
Table 8: HIV Test Results by Age Group and Gender.....	30
Table 9: Age Group by Risk Behavior and Gender.....	32
Table 10: HIV Test Results by Risk Behavior and Pregnancy Status – Female Clients.....	34
Table 11: HIV Test Results by Risk Behavior and Gender – Mobile Van and OA Outreach Clients.....	35
Table 12: STD History by Risk Behavior and Gender.....	37
Table 13: Number of Past Sex Partners by Risk Behavior and Gender.....	39
Table 14: Number of Prior HIV Tests by Risk Behavior and Gender.....	41
Table 15: HIV Test Results by Program Type and Agency.....	43
Counseling and Testing Services Only—Office of AIDS Funded.....	47
Table 16: Race by Risk Category, Gender, and Race.....	47
Table 17: Drug Use by Gender and Test Result.....	49
Table 18: No Show Rate by Program Type, Agency and Funding Source (OA- and Non-OA-Funded).....	51
Counseling Services Only (No Test)—Office of AIDS Funded.....	55
Table 19: Race by Risk Behavior and Gender.....	55
Table 20: Age Group by Risk Behavior and Gender.....	57
HIV Counseling Information Form.....	59

The Window Period Re-examined: An Update for HIV Counselors

Deanna L. Sykes, Ph.D. & Steven R. Truax, Ph.D.,
California Department of Health Services, Office of AIDS

Introduction

A change in window period recommendations?

Current HIV screening tests are designed to detect antibodies to HIV. Because it takes a period of several weeks or months for these antibodies to develop after exposure, clients testing for HIV are typically advised to test again later if they have recently engaged in risk behavior that may have exposed them to HIV. Previous guidelines recommended retesting six months after the most recent potential exposure. However, a number of states have changed their counseling guidelines to recommend retesting after three months, citing improvements in testing technology for a 50% reduction in the window period (WP). However, an examination of the existing literature revealed no evidence to support this change.

Original estimate: 95% seroconvert in six months

The Office of AIDS recommendation of six months is drawn from a 1989 article estimating the time period from exposure to seroconversion (Horsburgh, Ou et al.). Based on a sample with a known exposure date, Horsburgh estimated that 95% of infected people would seroconvert within 5.8 months of exposure, and about half would seroconvert within two months. This conclusion is consistent with data from health care workers seroconverting after a needlestick incident (Ciesielski & Metler, 1997).

Infectious WP shorter than exposure-to-seroconversion

A review of the subsequent literature examining time to seroconversion revealed that the majority of these studies were concerned with examining the safety of the blood supply. Consequently, this body of research examined the infectious WP – the period of time during which blood would test negative for HIV antibodies but would nonetheless result in HIV infection in the recipient.

Because it takes some time for enough virus to replicate in the body to be infectious to others, the infectious WP is shorter than the time period between exposure and seroconversion (Busch and Satten 1997; Murthy, Henrard et al. 1999). For the purpose of advising HIV counseling and testing clients, it is critical to distinguish between this

infectious WP and the longer period between exposure and seroconversion – a period that may more accurately be referred to as the exposure WP.

Confusion around window period estimates?

In an examination of more than 70 studies referenced to support a change in window period recommendations, no definitive study or series of studies emerged. Instead, it appears that the recommendation for a three-month window period may be the result of a failure to distinguish between these two window periods.

Definitions

In order to understand the important distinction between the two window periods, the following definitions may be useful:

Exposure event

The event that potentially exposed a client to HIV; e.g., sex or needle-sharing with a partner who may be HIV infected, etc.

Exposure Window Period

The period of time between the exposure event and seroconversion. It is important to accurately estimate this interval in order to establish effective recommendations regarding the retesting interval for clients who may have been exposed to HIV.

Eclipse period

The period of time after exposure but before virus has replicated enough to be infectious.

Infectious Window Period

The period of time between infectious viral load and seroconversion. During this time someone who is seroconverting will test negative for HIV antibodies but may still infect a sexual or needle-sharing partner or blood recipient. The length of this interval is important for estimating the safety of the blood supply.

Seroconversion

The point at which HIV antibodies reach levels that are detectable by current HIV tests. At this point, the client will test positive for HIV.

The Process


For the purposes of HIV counseling and testing clients, the exposure window period begins at the most recent possible exposure event – that is, the last time the client engaged in behavior which may have put him or her at risk for HIV.

During the “eclipse” period, the virus in an infected client begins replicating in submucosal cells, which subsequently travel to lymph nodes. At this point the virus is not circulated in the blood stream, and is not detectable. The client is not yet infectious. The length of this period may be affected by the amount and virulence of the viral strain, the functioning of

the immune system, the mode of transmission, etc. It appears to be highly variable from one person to the next.

After the virus enters circulation, there is a period of viral “ramp-up.” During this time the virus replicates quickly. When viral load reaches sufficient levels, the person becomes infectious.

In response to increasing viral loads, the immune system creates HIV antibodies. Pre-seroconversion symptoms are believed to be a result of this immune response. When enough antibodies are formed, they become detectable by current HIV antibody tests. This marks seroconversion.



The Evidence

An examination of the research cited to support a three-month exposure WP revealed no conclusive evidence for such a change. Most studies cited fell into one of the categories below:

- The cited study actually referred to the infectious WP rather than the exposure WP.
- The Horsburgh study was cited, but the original source incorrectly indicated that this study provided evidence of a three-month exposure WP.
- The reference cited a series of case studies in which some or all of the subjects seroconvert within two to three months, but most also acknowledged “exceptional” cases in which seroconversion took longer.

- The reference cited a study in which symptomatic seroconverters report an exposure incident during the previous three months, but it is not clear whether there were earlier exposures within the prior six months.

The critical point is that after following up more than 70 studies referenced in regard to a three-month exposure WP, no definitive study or series of studies which supports a three-month reduction in the Horsburgh estimate has emerged. While it is possible that the Horsburgh estimate may have been inaccurate to begin with, it remains the most definitive study to date that systematically estimates the period from exposure to seroconversion.

Sources of Confusion

Several factors may have contributed to the apparent confusion between the infectious WP and the exposure WP.

An initial assumption that the infectious WP and exposure WP were virtually the same

“...we assume that the PCR-positive, antibody negative period is essentially the same as the period from infection to seroconversion” (Horsburgh et al., 1989, p. 637). There is now substantial evidence for a significant period of time after exposure and prior to infectiousness (Busch and Satten 1997; Murthy, Henrard et al. 1999), which has been termed the “eclipse” period (Busch and Satten, 1997).

Lack of distinction in terminology

Researchers concerned about the safety of the blood supply conduct investigations to determine the duration of the infectious WP. Within the context of such research, this period is frequently referred to as simply the “window period.” Unfortunately, this is also the only term in common usage to describe the time between exposure and seroconversion.

Infectious WP reduced by about 50%

Current HIV tests can now detect HIV antibodies about 23 days sooner than first generation tests. The effect is that the infectious WP has been reduced from 45 days to 22 days, a reduction of about 50%. Because the infectious WP is often referred to as simply the “window period” in these reports, readers may have erroneously concluded that the exposure window period had been reduced by 50%, from six months to three months.

No Change to OA Recommendations

Although future research may shed more light on the exact process and duration of the exposure window period, the original Horsburgh estimate adjusted for technological improvements may be the best estimate currently available. **This revised estimate suggests that 95% of those seroconverting will do so within about five months of the exposure event, and about half will do so within five weeks.** Because this is a relatively minor revision to the original estimate, the Office of AIDS will continue to recommend retesting six months after a potential exposure to rule out HIV infection.

What the Client Needs to Know

Although its important for health care providers – especially HIV counselors – to avoid confusing the infectious window period and the exposure window period, it is not critical for the client to understand this distinction. Clients simply need to be aware of the following issues:

1. Because many people who are infected will seroconvert within two to three months, it may be useful to be tested two to three months after an isolated exposure event, to “rule in” infection and receive early care.
2. Clients testing negative two to three months after an isolated exposure event must retest six months after exposure to “rule out” infection.
3. For clients in the six-month window period, there is a period of time prior to seroconversion when they may be infectious to others, in spite of testing negative for HIV antibodies.

Conclusions

Understanding the duration of the exposure window period is crucial for health care providers to accurately inform clients at risk for HIV. Future research must focus on clarifying this issue in order to provide the most clear and accurate information possible, in the interests of public health.

References

- Busch, M. P. and G. A. Satten (1997). “Time course of viremia and antibody seroconversion following human immunodeficiency virus exposure.” *Am J Med* 102(5B): 117-24; discussion 125-6.
- Ciesielski, C. A. and R. P. Metler (1997). “Duration of time between exposure and seroconversion in healthcare workers with occupationally acquired infection with human immunodeficiency virus.” *Am J Med* 102(5B): 115-6.
- Horsburgh, C. R., Jr., C. Y. Ou, et al. (1989). “Duration of human immunodeficiency virus infection before detection of antibody.” *Lancet* 2(8664): 637-40.
- Murthy, K. K., D. R. Henrard, et al. (1999). “Redefining the HIV-infectious window period in the chimpanzee model: evidence to suggest that viral nucleic acid testing can prevent blood- borne transmission.” *Transfusion* 39(7): 688-9.

Summary of 2000 HIV Counseling and Testing at Publicly Funded Sites

California publicly funded HIV counseling and testing (C&T) data were collected for 2000 using the HIV Counseling Information System (HIV4). Overall annual testing volume reported to the Office of AIDS (OA) increased slightly for 2000 than during the previous year. While this might suggest a reversal of the downward trend in testing volume that began during the mid-1990's, in all likelihood the increase in testing volume in 2000 can be attributed to services being reported as OA-funded instead of non-OA-funded from San Francisco County. There were 181,910 OA-funded tests and 25,095 tests funded by other non-OA sources¹. Figure 1 shows testing service volume by month for both OA-funded and non-OA-funded records. Monthly testing volume ranged between 12,000-17,000 OA-funded tests per month. A normal seasonal decline in testing volume was seen in November and December. Program types included anonymous alternative test sites (ATS), which comprised 42% of all tests; non-ATS, which comprised nearly 57% of all tests; and Indian Health Program sites, which reported less than 1%. Proportionally, testing at ATS locations decreased between 1998 and 1999 and decreased an additional 4% in 2000. Approximately 5,000 fewer non-OA-funded tests were reported to OA for year 2000 compared to 1999, and they averaged around 2,000 tests per month.




Figure 1

Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Geographic Distribution of HIV-positive Results

Figure 2 presents a map of the number of HIV infections reported in 2000 to the Office of AIDS from OA- and non-OA-funded HIV testing sites in California by client residence county. The four counties with the largest number of HIV infections were Los Angeles (686), San Francisco (261), Alameda (196), and San Diego (161). Clients who indicated that they previously tested HIV positive and whose county of residence is unknown were excluded.

¹ For the present report, records were treated as non-OA funded if: the client's test election was court-ordered or other non-OA test, OA was not billed, or the record was from a Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs (DADP) funded agency. Non-OA-funded services represented approximately 12% of services reported to OA in 2000. Services are reported to OA voluntarily to enhance the completeness of this information source.




Figure 2

Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Office of AIDS Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services: Client Profile

The data presented in the following sections represent only HIV C&T services funded by OA. Note that numbers and percentages represent *services provided* and NOT numbers or percentages of *clients*.

HIV Testing Reported by Race and Gender

Regardless of race or gender, the overall positivity rate in 2000 for OA-funded clients was 1.25%, consistent with the previous two years (1.26% in 1998; 1.24% in 1999).

Figures 3 and 4, below, show the percentages of race/ethnicity reported for all OA-funded HIV tests and HIV tests with positive results. In 2000, the proportion of all HIV tests taken by African Americans was 16% (1% higher than 1999 and 3% more than 1998) while the proportion of positive test results belonging to African American clients was 28% (1% higher than 1999). Latino/as showed a 2% increase from 1999 in both the total number tested and the proportion of HIV-positive test results. In 2000, the proportion of Latino/as testing positive was 32%, slightly higher than the percentage of all Latino/as testing (30%). The overall proportion of Whites testing decreased by 6% from the previous year and represented 43% of all tests provided. Among positive test results, the proportion of Whites (31%) declined 2% from 1999. Asian American/Pacific Islanders (5%) also were proportionally lower among positive results (4%), but proportionally increased 1% from the previous year.




Figure 3

Prepared by the Department of Health Services




Figure 4

Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Males continued to represent well over half of the tests for all races and the majority (82%) of HIV-positive test results. African American males had the highest infection rate at 2.89% followed by Latino males (1.96%), *Other Race* males (1.83%), Native American males (1.38%), Asian American/Pacific Islander males (1.37%), and White males (1.30%). Infection rates were comparable to 1999 rates except that positive tests from Native American males decreased about 1%. Overall testing volume among African American females in 2000 increased 10% from 1999 and 23% from 1998 to 12,155 tests. The number of HIV-positive tests in 2000 among African American females ($n=154$) was slightly less than in the previous year ($n=161$). The HIV infection rate of African American females testing (1.27%), declined from 1999 (1.46%) probably due in part to the overall increase in testing volume

among African American females during 2000. It is somewhat tenuous to compare HIV infection rates between the different races for females because HIV-positive females represent very small numbers, especially when compared to the number of HIV-positive males. However, African American females are still proportionally coming up with more HIV-positive test results than *Other Race* (0.61%), Latinas (0.38%), Native American (0.38%), White (0.28%), and Asian/Pacific Islander females (0.18%). Figure 5 shows the rate of HIV-positive tests by gender and race and Figure 6 shows the total number of HIV tests by gender and race.




Figure 5

Prepared by the Department of Health Services




Figure 6

Prepared by the Department of Health Services

HIV Testing Reported by Age and Gender

Sixty percent of HIV tests continue to be administered to people between the ages of 20 to 39 years. The proportion of testing volume by age group remained stable from 1999. Figure 7 shows test volume by age and gender and Figure 8 shows the proportion of each age group among HIV-positive test results. People aged 30 to 39 years old continue to represent the majority of HIV-positive test results (41.3%) followed by 20 to 29 year olds (25.4%) and 40 to 49 year olds (22.3%). There were some shifts in the proportion of each age group from 1999 among HIV-positive test results. The proportion of HIV-positive results contributed by 30 to 39 year olds remained the same in 2000 while the rate of HIV-positive 20 to 29 year olds decreased 2.6%. Teenagers, 12 to 19 years, and adults aged 40 to 59 represented proportionally more of the HIV-positive test results in 2000 compared to 1999. In terms of number of tests, in 1999 there were 50 HIV-positive results for 12 to 19 year olds compared to 61 HIV-positive results in 2000. For 40 to 59 year olds there were nearly 100 additional HIV-positive results reported in 2000 compared to 1999 (557 vs. 650). These data, however, must be interpreted with caution as the data contain repeat testers.




Figure 7

Prepared by the Department of Health Services




Figure 8

Prepared by the Department of Health Services

HIV Testing Reported by Risk Behavior Category

In the HIV C&T database, each client was assigned to a mutually exclusive risk category based on risk behavior information provided at risk assessment and disclosure counseling sessions. Given multiple risk behaviors, clients were assigned to the category that evidence shows is most likely to put them at risk for HIV infection. Figure 9 lists the hierarchy of risks and shows the percent of HIV-positive tests for each risk.

HIV positivity by client risk category (Figure 9) shows that men who have sex with men/male bisexual injection drug users (MSM/IDU) were at highest risk for HIV with 5.44% of their tests having positive results. This is a decline from 1999 when 7.20% of their tests were positive. Men who have sex with men (MSM) clients were also at risk (4.29% tests were positive) as well as clients reporting an HIV infected sex partner (4.42% tests returned positive). Clients with 'unknown' risk behavior also had a high HIV-positive rate (4.56%). Proportionally, the MSM client infection rate was slightly lower than in 1999; however, clients with an HIV infected sex partner and clients of unknown risk had higher infection rates than in 1999.




Figure 9

Prepared by the Department of Health Services

²Occupational risk category had a positivity rate of 0.77% with five positive tests. In addition, there was one positive test reported in 2000 for the risk category child at risk, defined as a child of an HIV-positive mother.

Prior HIV Testing History

Many clients seen at publicly funded test sites have repeatedly tested for HIV. MSM, MSM/IDU, and heterosexual injection drug users (IDU) continue to report the largest percentage of repeat testing. MSM and MSM/IDU had the highest rate of prior testing with more than a third (37%) indicating five or more previous HIV tests. This information is comparable to 1998 and 1999 data and continues to suggest that these high-risk groups are aware of their increased risk for HIV and engage in a pattern of repeat HIV testing. After testing for the first time, all other risk groups combined showed a sharp decline in repeat testing (see Counseling and Testing Data Tables).

Patterns of prior HIV testing did not change much from 1999. First time testers represented 34% of all OA-funded tests and 21% of the HIV-positive results in year 2000. Twenty-one percent of clients with HIV-positive test results reported a previous HIV-positive test result indicating that a number of clients return to test sites to validate their initial results. Nearly half (48%) of clients with HIV-positive results reported a prior negative result which continues to suggest that HIV counseling and testing alone often does not result in behavior change that will prevent HIV infections for these clients (Figure 10).




Figure 10

Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Diagnosis for Positive and Negative Test Results

Reported STDs were elevated among HIV-positive clients compared to HIV-negative clients (Figure 11). Among clients with positive tests, 13.6% reported a diagnosed STD within the past two years compared to 10.6% for those who received negative tests. Similarly, 18% of clients with positive tests reported a STD from three or more years ago compared to 13% of clients with negative tests. The number of HIV-positive records with missing STD information increased 33% from 9% missing in 1999 to 12% missing in 2000.




Figure 11

Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Drugs and Alcohol Used with Sex

There was an 18% increase in the percentage of testers reporting nitrates/nitrites use and a 49% increase in reported ecstasy use from 1999, while testing volume increased by only 4% from the previous year. This increase may be due to more complete risk assessments/data collection, but probably is more likely due to more specific HIV C&T targeting of groups/individuals using these drugs and possibly more people using these 'party' drugs. Among testers who reported using crack cocaine ($n=15,640$), amphetamines ($n=26,248$), cocaine ($n=26,612$), nitrates/nitrites ($n=3,817$), ecstasy ($n=11,436$), or heroin ($n=13,383$), 57-67% also reported *sometimes* or *usually* using these drugs with sex. Testers were less likely to report using alcohol ($n=114,796$) and marijuana ($n=63,411$) with sex: 43% and 49%, respectively, reported *sometimes* or *usually* using these substances with sex. There continues to be an extremely high reported rate of co-mingling drugs and alcohol with sexual activity. These substances affect decision-making ability thereby placing individuals at increased risk for HIV infection. The alarming rise in ecstasy use should continue to be monitored and investigated further.

Transgender Counseling and Testing Clients

Transgender (TG) clients testing for HIV increased from 362 tests in 1999 to 498 tests in 2000, which is a 38% increase. Although TG clients still represented a very small proportion of the overall HIV counseling and testing population they continue to be at extremely high risk for HIV and were in fact the group with the highest HIV infection rate (5.82%) (see Counseling and Testing Data Tables). Figure 12 shows the total number of TG-identified tests for 2000 by race and test result. African American TG clients comprised 78 tests, a sharp increase from the 45 African American TG-identified tests in 1999. Nine were HIV positive for an HIV infection rate of 11.5%. Latino/a TG clients represented the largest racial group testing among TG clients ($n=223$) with an HIV positivity rate of 6.7%. Because the data reported represent numbers of tests and not numbers of clients, it is possible the same client(s) could be represented more than once in the data for the year. Nearly half (13 of 29) of TG positives reported receiving a prior HIV-positive test result in the past. It is possible, however, that the prior positive result came from a private or non-OA-funded clinic or prior to 2000.




Figure 12

Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Overall Trends in Testing

The overall number of publicly funded HIV tests administered increased slightly in 2000 from 1999; however, the proportion of high-risk clients (56%) to low-risk clients (44%) has remained consistent since 1998. The proportion of HIV tests increased among African American and Latino/a clients, while the overall proportion of White clients testing decreased. There were fewer HIV-positive tests among African American females in 2000, but more HIV-positive tests among African American males. The HIV infection rate among Latino/as remained stable from 1999. Although small in absolute number, the number of identified TG clients increased substantially in 2000. Reported use of ‘party’ drugs ecstasy and nitrates/nitrites increased substantially in 2000 from 1999. Among clients who test, the vast majority of infections remain among men, especially MSM. HIV infection remains extremely high among TG (5.82%), MSM/IDU (5.44%), MSM (4.29%), ‘unknown’ risk (4.56%), and African American (1.79%) testing clients.

HIV Counseling and Testing Services Funded by Non-Office of AIDS Sources

Several C&T sites receive funding not only from the OA, but also directly from other sources including the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Department of Drug and Alcohol programs (DADP). Approximately 25,000 non-OA-funded tests were reported in 2000, a 17% decrease from 1999. Non-OA-funded services comprised 12% of all HIV C&T data reported to OA for 2000. The largest concentration of these data were contributed by Los Angeles DADP (19%), Orange County (11%) and AltaMed Health Services’ mobile testing unit in Los Angeles (9%), with the rest from a variety of locations throughout California. This is a shift in the geographical distribution of non-OA-funded data reported from 1999 when San Francisco County had contributed 28% of the non-OA-funded records. San Francisco County is a region where HIV prevalence is high and probably as a result of this shift, in 2000, the HIV infection rate among non-OA-funded tests dropped by almost half to just under 1% of tests.

The proportion of heterosexual IDU tests reported by non-OA-funded sites was more than twice that reported by OA-funded sites (26% vs. 11%). Non-OA-funded sites also reported proportionally more services to

clients reporting an injection drug using sex partner (7.7% vs. 6%). Homosexual male identified tests represented about 3% of tests at non-OA-funded sites and over 11% at OA-funded sites. There were proportionally more African Americans (21% vs. 16%) and equivalent proportions of Whites (42% vs. 43%) and Latino/as (30%) among the non-OA-funded clients compared to the OA-funded clients. Forty percent of HIV-positive tests were from African American clients followed by Latino/as (29%) and Whites (24%). Failure to return for disclosure was slightly higher among OA-funded clients (27%) than for non-OA-funded clients (25%) in 2000. This is again, a reversal from 1999 when failure to return rates were much higher for non-OA-funded clients. In comparison to OA-funded test sites, non-OA-funded sites reported a greater proportion of high-risk clients (74% vs. 56%) engaging in behaviors that put them at risk for contracting HIV. Additional information on non-OA-funded services is provided in the Counseling and Testing Data Tables section.

Office of AIDS Funded HIV Counseling and Testing Services: Quality Assurance Indicators

The following analyses provide information that can help to monitor the effectiveness and improve the quality of C&T services over time. These measures were first provided in the 1998 HIV Counseling and Testing Annual Report. The 1999 data suggested that counselors made great improvement in completely recording information on the Counseling Information Form (CIF). The 2000 data suggest that some counselors are not completing the risk information as well as in 1999. Also, in 2000, the vast majority of HIV counselors continued to provide only a couple risk assessment counseling sessions per week (less than three). Consequently, clients may not necessarily be receiving quality client-centered counseling from counselors who do not provide much HIV prevention counseling. In many cases, it appears that high-risk clients still are not receiving referrals, or it could be the case that these referrals are being given, but not documented. Effective referrals have been demonstrated to be key to HIV risk reduction. Similarly, most confidentially tested no-show clients are either not receiving follow-up contacts or again, there may be a problem with documentation of this service on the CIF. HIV counselor training attendance, turnover and workload information shows that the majority of HIV counselors provide very little counseling. Turnover is especially high among individuals who provide little counseling. A large number of people attending the HIV counselor trainings are not providing any HIV counseling in OA-funded settings.

Failure to Return for HIV Test Result

Failure to return for HIV test results was 3% higher in 2000 (24% in 1999 vs. 27% in 2000). Rates of failing to return for HIV test results, or client no-show rates, differ among clinic types. ATS typically have the lowest no-show rates and in 2000, 18% of test results were not disclosed. Other health department (63%), family planning and TB screening (both 39%) and mobile van testing (38%) had the highest no-show rates (Figure 13). There are a variety of reasons why clients may fail to return for HIV test results. Mobile vans reach more clients who are homeless and disenfranchised making it difficult to reunite with clients. Clients seeking services from other health departments, family planning, primary care or STD clinics may have sought services other than HIV testing and therefore are less likely to return for results unless motivated by HIV counselors. Since 1998, the percentage of testing clients not returning for a test result has been increasing slightly.

Clients with an unknown risk history ($n=5,683$), where risk behaviors were not recorded during the counseling session, were the most likely to fail to return for their test result (88%). Since these risks are not being reported for these clients, it would seem prudent not to offer an HIV test if a risk assessment cannot be completed. Clients who traded sex for drugs or money (32%), heterosexual IDU and those with no reported risk (30%) were the next most likely to fail to return. Of all risk categories, MSM clients were most likely to return for test results (12% failed to return). This is consistent with 1999 failure to return rates for MSM clients, but an increase from 1998 when 9% of MSM clients failed to return for results. Figure 14 shows the percent of HIV test results that were not disclosed by client risk.




Figure 13

Prepared by the Department of Health Services




Figure 14

Prepared by the Department of Health Services

*Includes: Transfusion, Multiple Partners, Partner w/Multiple Partners, Occupational, Child at Risk and No Reported Risk.

Missing Information on the Counseling Information Form

The HIV CIF from OA-funded sites were generally filled out completely. Table 1 below lists selected items on the form by percentage of missing data (i.e., item was blank). Bold percentages indicate an increase in missing information from 1999. While there was a significant reduction in the percentage of blank items from 1998 to 1999, from 1999 to 2000 there was an increase in the amount of missing information by one to two percent in most cases. Four items were more consistently reported in 2000: age, number of prior HIV tests, last test result and risk reduction goal. Data from 2000 were still more complete than what had been reported in 1998. Items found on the backside of the form continue to more likely be left blank. In 1998, one-third of the records were missing information on the establishment or achievement of risk reduction goals. In 1999 this dropped slightly to 28% and then to 25% in 2000. While the recording of risk reduction goals is improving, the fact that for a quarter of clients the information is missing continues to indicate that either counselors do not understand how to record this information, do not understand the purpose of collecting the information or that risk reduction goals are not being discussed with clients. In addition, a considerable number of HIV-positive tests ($n=259$) had no identified risk behaviors reported by counselors, again suggesting difficulty in obtaining risk information.

Selected HIV CIF Items by Percentage of Missing Data	
Front Side Items	Percent Missing
Race	1.7%
Age	0.6%
Gender	1.3%
Residence county	2.8%
Residence zip code	5.8%
Client was referred by	7.1%
Client's reason for testing	3.0%
Number of prior HIV tests	1.5%
Last test result	1.3%
Risk reduction goal	25.3%
Back Side Items	Percent Missing
Number of sex partners	3.4%
Partners w/ other partners	8.3%
Male partner	4.3%
Female partner	5.1%
Sex with prostitute	5.7%
IDU partner	5.6%
HIV-infected partner	4.7%
Male partner who had sex with male (females only)	4.0%
Drug use	1.5%
Injected drugs	4.6%
Diagnosed with an STD	4.2%
Received money/other items or services for sex	6.5%
Received drugs for sex	6.5%
Blood to blood exposure	6.9%
Share objects	7.2%
Job exposure	7.9%

Table 1

Prepared by the Department of Health Services

Follow-up with High-Risk/No-Show Confidentially Tested Clients

Follow-up services for clients who fail to appear for disclosure counseling continue to be either seriously under utilized by confidential testing sites or the information is simply not being recorded on the CIF. Only 18% of eligible confidentially tested no-show clients received follow-up service (2% less than 1999). Statewide this represents 11,271 confidential high-risk or HIV-positive test takers who did not receive a test result compared to 8,872 in 1999. Of those confidentially tested no-show clients contacted through follow-up ($n=2,227$), 42% returned for their test result, the same percent that returned after follow-up in 1999. Among just confidentially tested HIV-positive results, 443 (42%) did not return for the test result. Of the 65 follow-ups made for confidentially tested HIV-positive clients, 36 or 55% returned for a test result. Given that confidentially tested clients are identifiable, there should be no HIV-positive result that is undisclosed for this group. Additionally, while HIV-negative results cannot be provided after 60 days from the test date, an HIV-positive result may be disclosed at any time. Attempting to reschedule a missed disclosure session provides a second chance for clients to receive their test result and for counselors to provide additional prevention counseling and referrals, in particular for the HIV-positive clients. Making the follow-up attempt and recording the date on the form increases reimbursement by \$10 for high-risk clients and \$25 for HIV-positive and HIV-inconclusive clients. Had all confidential follow-ups been attempted an estimated 4,188 additional clients would have known their HIV status including 243 HIV-positive clients. Clinics are also missing out on additional reimbursement that would have been paid for high-risk disclosures and referrals provided to those clients who returned.

Referrals to High-Risk Clients

In 2000, 15% of high-risk client risk assessments had 'NONE' or missing information for the risk assessment referral column (2% less than 1999); however, 24% of high-risk disclosure sessions had 'NONE' or missing information in the disclosure referral column, a 9% increase from 1999. Approximately 16,213 high-risk test takers had no disclosure referral. All high-risk and HIV-positive clients should receive a referral at the disclosure session. Disclosure session referrals are reimbursed at \$5 for high-risk clients and \$15 for HIV-positive and HIV-inconclusive clients.

Counselor Turnover

From 1999 to 2000, approximately 600 HIV counselors discontinued working at OA-funded test sites. This represents 37% of the year 2000 HIV counselor workforce. When turnover was examined by workload level for the year, it was found that 58% of the 600 counselors who left had provided less than ten risk assessments sessions. A third of HIV counselors had provided between 11 and 58 sessions, 18% between 59-261 sessions, and 11% had provided 262 or more risk assessments during the year. This illustrates that counselors who provided little HIV counseling were significantly more likely to leave; conversely, those counselors with higher counseling workloads were more likely to stay. HIV counselor retention is an on-going issue of concern for many clinics and strategies to retain and compensate HIV counselors adequately need to be considered and addressed at both the State and local levels.

Counselor Workload and HIV Counselor Training

The average counselor workload did not change from 1998 to 1999, and increased only fractionally in 2000. The 2000 statewide average number of risk assessment sessions performed each week by HIV counselors continued to be less than three sessions ($mean=2.9$). There were approximately 1,650 HIV counselors in 2000; about 100 less than identified in 1998 and 1999. Two-thirds of HIV counselors ($n=1,065$) provided between one and 58 risk assessments for the year, with half of them providing between one and ten risk assessment sessions. Counselors who provide only a couple of sessions each week may not be gaining the necessary experience to become proficient HIV counselors. The data continue to indicate that an overwhelming majority of HIV counselors are not counseling enough to maintain even a reasonable part-time workload. In many cases, HIV counselors also work in other capacities within a clinic or health department, so it is understandable that HIV counseling workload might be minimal; some counselors are volunteers and others have part-time jobs outside of the testing clinic. Even so, there are still far too many HIV counselors providing very little HIV counseling. Additionally, there were nearly 500 individuals who attended either a

Basic 1 HIV counselor training ($n=267$) and/or a Basic 2 HIV counselor training ($n=220$) between January and November 2000 who never provided any HIV counseling at OA-funded sites during that year. To train so many individuals and not have them providing any or not much HIV prevention counseling is not a good use of limited HIV prevention resources. As a result of the counselor turnover, workload and training information of the past three years, the OA proposes the following changes:

- Individuals requesting HIV counselor training who are from non-OA-funded organizations will be given lowest priority for enrollment and/or required to pay for the cost of the training.
- Prior to sending individuals to the HIV counselor Basic 1 training, HIV Coordinators are strongly encouraged to utilize the three month period in which new HIV counselors may provide HIV risk assessment or disclosure counseling under supervision, while the clinic receives full reimbursement for the services. This allows individuals to decide if in fact they want to make the commitment to working as an HIV Counselor.
- HIV Counselors who have not provided any HIV risk assessment counseling after attending a Basic 1 and prior to the Basic 2 training will not have priority for enrollment in the Basic 2 training.
- HIV Coordinators will establish a local minimum level of HIV counseling that must be provided by counselors.
- HIV Coordinators must personally sign-off on anyone attending an HIV counselor training and certify that the person will be actively providing HIV counseling.
- OA will provide the AIDS Health Project (AHP) of San Francisco with a quarterly list of active HIV Counselors so that AHP can verify that individuals signing-up for continuing education through their organization are actively counseling.
- Individuals who do not provide any HIV counseling will not be enrolled in Office of AIDS-sponsored continuing education trainings.

HIV Counseling and Testing Tables

HIV counseling and testing data are reported to the OA by county/city local health departments (LHD) through contracts and agreements with the OA to provide these services. Programs funded through LHD are divided into legislatively-mandated anonymous testing services at ATS, anonymous and confidential services at county or municipal sexually transmitted disease and other clinics, Indian health programs, and other venues (referred to in the tables as Non-ATS LHD). This section of the report contains 20 tables of client data from OA-funded programs and also presents limited client data from non-OA-funded programs (e.g., DADP). Data are accumulated annually.

Effective July 1, 1994, major changes were made to the system used to collect and report client data to the OA and a new client-centered counseling approach was implemented. Further modifications to the data collection system were implemented in July 1997, including the introduction of a more comprehensive HIV Counseling Information Form (DHS 8458). Much more detailed risk behavior information is now being discussed with clients and collected for analysis. It should be noted, however, that the “HIV Risk Behavior Category” variable presented in some tables is not strictly comparable with that variable as reported before July 1, 1994. For example, the category “Partner [who had a] Transfusion” has been eliminated. Data are provided voluntarily by clients and the heading “Unknown” in any table means that an item of information was not provided by the client.

The basic tabulated information is a client visit/service unit. The service unit includes, at a minimum, an HIV risk assessment session. If the client elected to be tested, the client visit also includes a test result. Among those clients who elected to be tested, the service also includes a disclosure session unless the client did not return for the result. A client may have obtained more than one HIV test in a reporting period; in this case each test with its related services will be reported separately. It is important to keep in mind that these data represent counseling and testing services administered through these programs and hence, recipients of these services are a self-selected group. **Therefore, these data should not be interpreted as representing discrete persons or the population of California in general.**

Guide to HIV Counseling and Testing Tables

Tables 1 through 6 are for both OA- and non-OA-funded services for clients electing to test, as well as for those choosing not to test and include information on volume of testing per quarter and month, program and clinic type, and client risk. Only OA-funded services are reported in Tables 7 through 15, which contain information on race, age group, gender, client risk, pregnancy status, outreach clients, past STD, number of sex partners, number of prior HIV tests, and program type and agency. OA-funded services for clients who have been tested are described in Tables 16 through 18 and include information on race, risk group, gender, and drug use. Tables 19 and 20 provide a summary of risk group, gender, race, and age group for OA-funded services provide to clients who elected not to be tested. Below is a description of each table.

OA- and Non-OA-Funded Services

Table 1 presents total counseling and testing services by quarter and month provided by OA-funded programs in 1999 for all clients who have tested or who have chosen not to be tested.

Table 2 presents the same information as Table 1, but for non-OA-funded programs reporting data to the OA.

Table 3 provides test result and risk assessment-only services for OA-funded programs by program and clinic type.

Table 4 is the same information as Table 3, but for non-OA-funded programs reporting data to the OA.

Table 5 provides test result and risk assessment-only services at OA-funded programs by a categorical assignment of client risk. Behaviors reported by the client on the HIV Counseling Information Form (DHS 8458) are ranked by decreasing risk of exposure and the client is assigned the category producing the greatest probable risk for contracting HIV. These risk groups are further subdivided by gender.

Table 6 has the same information as Table 5, but for non-OA-funded programs reporting data to the OA.

OA-Funded Services

Table 7 summarizes test results and risk assessment-only services by race and gender.

Table 8 shows test results and risk assessment-only services by age group and gender.

Table 9 summarizes risk groups by gender and age group.

Table 10 shows test results for female clients by risk behavior and pregnancy status.

Table 11 shows test results for outreach clients (those reached through a mobile van or referred by an OA outreach worker) by risk behavior and gender.

Table 12 presents past STD history by risk behavior and gender.

Table 13 summarizes the number of sex partners in the past year by risk behavior and gender.

Table 14 summarizes the number of prior HIV tests by risk behavior and gender.

Table 15 provides test results by program type and local health department.

OA-Funded Services for Clients Who Have Tested

Table 16 describes counseling and testing clients by race, risk group and gender.

Table 17 shows specific drug use by client gender and test result.

Table 18 provides no-show rates by program and local health department for both OA- and non-OA-funded programs.

OA-Funded Services for Clients Who Elected Not to be Tested

Table 19 shows counseling services only (no test) for risk group and gender by race.

Table 20 describes risk group and gender by age group.

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 1. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY YEAR, QUARTER and MONTH			TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES BY MONTH	
			POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY MONTH		TOTAL BY MONTH			
			NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
Testing Year	QUARTER	Testing Month												
2000	1	JANUARY	181	1.2	14321	98.6	25	0.2	14527	31.0	535	34.0	15062	31.1
		FEBRUARY	180	1.2	15188	98.7	14	0.1	15382	32.8	486	30.9	15868	32.7
		MARCH	214	1.3	16738	98.6	19	0.1	16971	36.2	551	35.1	17522	36.2
		SUBTOTAL	575	1.2	46247	98.6	58	0.1	46880	25.8	1572	25.4	48452	25.8
	2	Testing Month												
		APRIL	194	1.2	15372	98.6	21	0.1	15587	32.5	489	31.4	16076	32.4
		MAY	186	1.2	15802	98.8	13	0.1	16001	33.3	540	34.6	16541	33.4
		JUNE	240	1.5	16141	98.3	45	0.3	16426	34.2	530	34.0	16956	34.2
		SUBTOTAL	620	1.3	47315	98.5	79	0.2	48014	26.4	1559	25.2	49573	26.4
	3	Testing Month												
		JULY	213	1.4	15290	98.4	29	0.2	15532	34.1	604	36.2	16136	34.2
		AUGUST	200	1.3	15733	98.5	36	0.2	15969	35.1	620	37.1	16589	35.1
		SEPTEMBER	183	1.3	13822	98.5	22	0.2	14027	30.8	446	26.7	14473	30.7
		SUBTOTAL	596	1.3	44845	98.5	87	0.2	45528	25.0	1670	26.9	47198	25.1
	4	Testing Month												
		OCTOBER	181	1.2	15477	98.7	30	0.2	15688	37.8	524	37.5	16212	37.8
NOVEMBER		161	1.2	13719	98.7	25	0.2	13905	33.5	432	30.9	14337	33.4	
DECEMBER		134	1.1	11748	98.8	13	0.1	11895	28.7	440	31.5	12335	28.8	
SUBTOTAL		476	1.1	40944	98.7	68	0.2	41488	22.8	1396	22.5	42884	22.8	
TOTAL BY RESULT			2267	1.2	179351	98.6	292	0.2	181910	100	6197	100	188107	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
OA = Office of AIDS

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 2. NON-OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY YEAR, QUARTER and MONTH			TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES BY MONTH	
			POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY MONTH		TOTAL BY MONTH			
			NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
Testing Year	QUARTER	Testing Month												
2000	1	JANUARY	21	0.9	2255	99.1			2276	33.3	12	30.8	2288	33.3
		FEBRUARY	25	1.1	2234	98.6	6	0.3	2265	33.1	10	25.6	2275	33.1
		MARCH	14	0.6	2279	99.2	4	0.2	2297	33.6	17	43.6	2314	33.6
		SUBTOTAL	60	0.9	6768	99.0	10	0.1	6838	27.2	39	30.5	6877	27.3
	2	Testing Month												
		APRIL	12	0.6	2046	99.3	3	0.1	2061	31.6	13	52.0	2074	31.6
		MAY	38	1.6	2320	98.3	3	0.1	2361	36.2	7	28.0	2368	36.1
		JUNE	27	1.3	2072	98.2	10	0.5	2109	32.3	5	20.0	2114	32.2
		SUBTOTAL	77	1.2	6438	98.6	16	0.2	6531	26.0	25	19.5	6556	26.0
	3	Testing Month												
		JULY	20	1.0	1957	98.9	2	0.1	1979	32.2	5	38.5	1984	32.3
		AUGUST	24	1.0	2260	98.8	3	0.1	2287	37.3	4	30.8	2291	37.3
		SEPTEMBER	12	0.6	1856	99.2	3	0.2	1871	30.5	4	30.8	1875	30.5
		SUBTOTAL	56	0.9	6073	99.0	8	0.1	6137	24.5	13	10.2	6150	24.4
	4	Testing Month												
		OCTOBER	21	0.9	2391	99.0	2	0.1	2414	43.2	9	17.6	2423	43.0
		NOVEMBER	23	1.2	1832	98.8			1855	33.2	35	68.6	1890	33.5
		DECEMBER	5	0.4	1312	99.4	3	0.2	1320	23.6	7	13.7	1327	23.5
		SUBTOTAL	49	0.9	5535	99.0	5	0.1	5589	22.3	51	39.8	5640	22.4
TOTAL BY RESULT			242	1.0	24814	98.9	39	0.2	25095	100	128	100	25223	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 OA = Office of AIDS

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 3. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM and CLINIC TYPE		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY MONTH		TOTAL BY MONTH			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	Clinic Type												
	ALTERNATIVE TEST SITE	1007	1.3	74980	98.5	161	0.2	76148	42.3	4436	73.7	80584	43.3
	FAMILY PLANNING	15	0.6	2292	99.3	1	0.0	2308	1.3	133	2.2	2441	1.3
	STD CLINIC	176	0.6	30004	99.4	18	0.1	30198	16.8	885	14.7	31083	16.7
	ALCOHOL/DRUG TREAT	2	0.1	1596	99.4	8	0.5	1606	0.9	2	0.0	1608	0.9
	DETENTION FACILITY	35	0.5	6627	99.4	8	0.1	6670	3.7	49	0.8	6719	3.6
	PRIMARY CARE	30	0.7	4427	99.3	2	0.0	4459	2.5	100	1.7	4559	2.5
	HIV TESTING	458	2.1	21694	97.7	42	0.2	22194	12.3	153	2.5	22347	12.0
	MOBILE VAN	192	0.8	23309	99.1	29	0.1	23530	13.1	128	2.1	23658	12.7
	TB SCREENING	1	0.6	167	99.4			168	0.1			168	0.1
	OTHER HEALTH DP	267	2.8	9111	97.1	5	0.1	9383	5.2	69	1.1	9452	5.1
	OTHER	64	2.2	2824	97.7	3	0.1	2891	1.6	63	1.0	2954	1.6
	UNKNOWN	9	2.5	347	97.5			356	0.2	2	0.0	358	0.2
	SUBTOTAL	2256	1.3	177378	98.6	277	0.2	179911	98.9	6020	97.1	185931	98.8
RURAL HEALTH PROGRAM	Clinic Type												
	FAMILY PLANNING			241	99.2	2	0.8	243	17.2			243	15.4
	PRIMARY CARE	2	0.3	624	98.0	11	1.7	637	45.1	161	96.4	798	50.6
	TB SCREENING									1	0.6	1	0.1
	OTHER	2	0.4	529	99.6			531	37.6	5	3.0	536	34.0
	SUBTOTAL	4	0.3	1394	98.8	13	0.9	1411	0.8	167	2.7	1578	0.8
INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM	Clinic Type												
	PRIMARY CARE	7	1.2	557	98.4	2	0.4	566	96.3	10	100	576	96.3
	HIV TESTING			22	100			22	3.7			22	3.7
	SUBTOTAL	7	1.2	579	98.5	2	0.3	588	0.3	10	0.2	598	0.3
TOTAL BY RESULT		2267	1.2	179351	98.6	292	0.2	181910	100	6197	100	188107	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 DP = Department
 OA = Office of AIDS
 STD = Sexually Transmitted Disease
 TB = Tuberculosis

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 4. NON-OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM and CLINIC TYPE		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY MONTH		TOTAL BY MONTH			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	Clinic Type												
	ALTERNATIVE TEST SITE	7	1.4	491	98.6			498	5.9	12	35.3	510	6.1
	FAMILY PLANNING			86	100			86	1.0	1	2.9	87	1.0
	STD CLINIC	1	0.8	117	98.3	1	0.8	119	1.4	10	29.4	129	1.5
	ALCOHOL/DRUG TREAT	10	0.3	3247	99.7	1	0.0	3258	38.8	1	2.9	3259	38.7
	DETENTION FACILITY	33	2.9	1110	97.1			1143	13.6	4	11.8	1147	13.6
	PRIMARY CARE	10	2.4	411	97.6			421	5.0			421	5.0
	HIV TESTING	28	1.2	2270	98.7	3	0.1	2301	27.4	4	11.8	2305	27.4
	MOBILE VAN			74	100			74	0.9	1	2.9	75	0.9
	TB SCREENING			7	100			7	0.1			7	0.1
	OTHER HEALTH DP			234	100			234	2.8	1	2.9	235	2.8
	OTHER			251	100			251	3.0			251	3.0
	SUBTOTAL	89	1.1	8298	98.9	5	0.1	8392	33.4	34	26.6	8426	33.4
RURAL HEALTH PROGRAM	Clinic Type												
	HIV TESTING	3	1.1	264	98.9			267	100			267	100
	SUBTOTAL	3	1.1	264	98.9			267	1.1			267	1.1
DADP	Clinic Type												
	ALCOHOL/DRUG TREATMENT	23	0.4	5773	99.3	19	0.3	5815	35.4	73	77.7	5888	35.6
	DETENTION FACILITY	1	0.2	470	99.8			471	2.9			471	2.8
	HIV TESTING	2	1.2	156	96.9	3	1.9	161	1.0	1	1.1	162	1.0
	MOBILE VAN	124	1.2	9807	98.6	12	0.1	9943	60.5	18	19.1	9961	60.3
	OTHER HEALTH DP			10	100			10	0.1	1	1.1	11	0.1
	OTHER			36	100			36	0.2	1	1.1	37	0.2
	SUBTOTAL	150	0.9	16252	98.9	34	0.2	16436	65.5	94	73.4	16530	65.5
TOTAL BY RESULT		242	1.0	24814	98.9	39	0.2	25095	100	128	100	25223	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:

DADP = Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs

DP = Department

OA = Office of AIDS

STD = Sexually Transmitted Disease

TB = Tuberculosis

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 5. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR and GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK and GENDER		TOTAL BY RISK and GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	892	4.3	19875	95.5	49	0.2	20816	100	1815	100	22631	100
	SUBTOTAL	892	4.3	19875	95.5	49	0.2	20816	11.4	1815	29.3	22631	12.0
BISEXUAL	MALE	157	3.0	5158	96.9	7	0.1	5322	61.1	171	65.3	5493	61.3
	FEMALE	6	0.2	3373	99.7	3	0.1	3382	38.9	91	34.7	3473	38.7
	SUBTOTAL	163	1.9	8531	98.0	10	0.1	8704	4.8	262	4.2	8966	4.8
IDU	MALE	140	1.3	10824	98.5	25	0.2	10989	57.3	71	49.3	11060	57.2
	FEMALE	76	1.0	7827	98.8	19	0.2	7922	41.3	68	47.2	7990	41.3
	TG	11	12.1	80	87.9			91	0.5	2	1.4	93	0.5
	UNKNOWN	2	1.1	186	98.4	1	0.5	189	1.0	3	2.1	192	1.0
	SUBTOTAL	229	1.2	18917	98.6	45	0.2	19191	10.5	144	2.3	19335	10.3
GAY/IDU	MALE	98	5.4	1695	94.1	8	0.4	1801	100	32	100	1833	100
	SUBTOTAL	98	5.4	1695	94.1	8	0.4	1801	1.0	32	0.5	1833	1.0
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	48	4.6	997	95.3	1	0.1	1046	40.2	23	46.0	1069	40.3
	FEMALE	60	4.1	1389	95.5	5	0.3	1454	55.9	26	52.0	1480	55.8
	TG	4	14.8	23	85.2			27	1.0			27	1.0
	UNKNOWN	3	4.1	71	95.9			74	2.8	1	2.0	75	2.8
	SUBTOTAL	115	4.4	2480	95.3	6	0.2	2601	1.4	50	0.8	2651	1.4
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	4	0.2	2484	99.6	5	0.2	2493	100	24	100	2517	100
	SUBTOTAL	4	0.2	2484	99.6	5	0.2	2493	1.4	24	0.4	2517	1.3
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	34	0.7	5187	99.2	9	0.2	5230	48.1	53	56.4	5283	48.1
	FEMALE	32	0.6	5423	99.2	10	0.2	5465	50.2	40	42.6	5505	50.2
	TG			36	100			36	0.3			36	0.3
	UNKNOWN			149	99.3	1	0.7	150	1.4	1	1.1	151	1.4
	SUBTOTAL	66	0.6	10795	99.2	20	0.2	10881	6.0	94	1.5	10975	5.8
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	8	1.3	612	98.7			620	33.6	5	38.5	625	33.6
	FEMALE	7	0.6	1117	99.3	1	0.1	1125	60.9	6	46.2	1131	60.8
	TG	3	4.0	72	96.0			75	4.1	2	15.4	77	4.1
	UNKNOWN	1	3.7	26	96.3			27	1.5			27	1.5
	SUBTOTAL	19	1.0	1827	98.9	1	0.1	1847	1.0	13	0.2	1860	1.0
TRANSFUSION	MALE	3	0.5	566	99.5			569	43.9	16	57.1	585	44.2
	FEMALE	2	0.3	711	99.3	3	0.4	716	55.2	12	42.9	728	54.9
	TG			3	100			3	0.2			3	0.2
	UNKNOWN			9	100			9	0.7			9	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	5	0.4	1289	99.4	3	0.2	1297	0.7	28	0.5	1325	0.7

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 5. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR and GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK and GENDER		TOTAL BY RISK and GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	131	0.4	34687	99.5	45	0.1	34863	61.6	947	59.6	35810	61.5
	FEMALE	40	0.2	20725	99.7	26	0.1	20791	36.7	618	38.9	21409	36.8
	TG	4	2.8	140	97.2			144	0.3			144	0.2
	UNKNOWN	4	0.5	821	99.3	2	0.2	827	1.5	24	1.5	851	1.5
	SUBTOTAL	179	0.3	56373	99.6	73	0.1	56625	31.1	1589	25.6	58214	30.9
PARTNER HAS MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	61	0.5	13430	99.4	25	0.2	13516	42.9	220	36.9	13736	42.7
	FEMALE	42	0.2	17530	99.6	25	0.1	17597	55.8	366	61.3	17963	55.9
	TG			40	100			40	0.1	1	0.2	41	0.1
	UNKNOWN	1	0.3	381	99.5	1	0.3	383	1.2	10	1.7	393	1.2
	SUBTOTAL	104	0.3	31381	99.5	51	0.2	31536	17.3	597	9.6	32133	17.1
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	3	1.0	301	99.0			304	46.6	2	66.7	306	46.6
	FEMALE	2	0.6	341	99.1	1	0.3	344	52.7	1	33.3	345	52.6
	UNKNOWN			5	100			5	0.8			5	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	5	0.8	647	99.1	1	0.2	653	0.4	3	0.0	656	0.3
PARENT RISK	MALE	1	9.1	10	90.9			11	52.4			11	52.4
	FEMALE			10	100			10	47.6			10	47.6
	SUBTOTAL	1	4.8	20	95.2			21	0.0			21	0.0
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	80	1.0	8075	98.9	8	0.1	8163	46.0	370	40.7	8533	45.7
	FEMALE	40	0.4	9211	99.4	11	0.1	9262	52.1	526	57.8	9788	52.4
	TG	1	3.6	27	96.4			28	0.2	1	0.1	29	0.2
	UNKNOWN	7	2.3	301	97.7			308	1.7	13	1.4	321	1.7
	SUBTOTAL	128	0.7	17614	99.2	19	0.1	17761	9.8	910	14.7	18671	9.9
UNKNOWN	MALE	208	7.1	2731	92.9	1	0.0	2940	51.7	337	53.0	3277	51.9
	FEMALE	45	1.8	2392	98.2			2437	42.9	172	27.0	2609	41.3
	TG	4	15.4	22	84.6			26	0.5	4	0.6	30	0.5
	UNKNOWN	2	0.7	278	99.3			280	4.9	123	19.3	403	6.4
	SUBTOTAL	259	4.6	5423	95.4	1	0.0	5683	3.1	636	10.3	6319	3.4
TOTAL BY RESULT		2267	1.2	179351	98.6	292	0.2	181910	100	6197	100	188107	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 OA = Office of AIDS
 TG = Transgendered

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 6. NON-OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR and GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK and GENDER		TOTAL BY RISK and GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	50	6.2	753	93.4	3	0.4	806	100	1	100	807	100
	SUBTOTAL	50	6.2	753	93.4	3	0.4	806	3.2	1	0.8	807	3.2
BISEXUAL	MALE	17	4.0	405	96.0			422	42.8	1	50.0	423	42.8
	FEMALE	2	0.4	562	99.6			564	57.2	1	50.0	565	57.2
	SUBTOTAL	19	1.9	967	98.1			986	3.9	2	1.6	988	3.9
IDU	MALE	18	0.5	3798	99.4	5	0.1	3821	57.6	14	87.5	3835	57.7
	FEMALE	16	0.6	2741	99.3	2	0.1	2759	41.6	2	12.5	2761	41.5
	TG	4	33.3	8	66.7			12	0.2			12	0.2
	UNKNOWN			44	100			44	0.7			44	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	38	0.6	6591	99.3	7	0.1	6636	26.4	16	12.5	6652	26.4
GAY/IDU	MALE	14	4.7	284	95.3			298	100			298	100
	SUBTOTAL	14	4.7	284	95.3			298	1.2			298	1.2
PARTNER HIV POSITVE	MALE	6	5.3	108	94.7			114	45.2			114	45.1
	FEMALE	3	2.3	130	97.7			133	52.8	1	100	134	53.0
	TG	2	40.0	3	60.0			5	2.0			5	2.0
	SUBTOTAL	11	4.4	241	95.6			252	1.0	1	0.8	253	1.0
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	1	0.4	254	99.6			255	100			255	100
	SUBTOTAL	1	0.4	254	99.6			255	1.0			255	1.0
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	8	0.9	921	98.9	2	0.2	931	48.0	8	72.7	939	48.1
	FEMALE	3	0.3	982	99.5	2	0.2	987	50.9	3	27.3	990	50.7
	TG			6	100			6	0.3			6	0.3
	UNKNOWN			16	100			16	0.8			16	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	11	0.6	1925	99.2	4	0.2	1940	7.7	11	8.6	1951	7.7
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	2	1.0	196	99.0			198	28.4	1	100	199	28.5
	FEMALE	2	0.4	486	99.4	1	0.2	489	70.1			489	70.0
	TG	1	25.0	3	75.0			4	0.6			4	0.6
	UNKNOWN			7	100			7	1.0			7	1.0
	SUBTOTAL	5	0.7	692	99.1	1	0.1	698	2.8	1	0.8	699	2.8
TRANSFUSION	MALE	1	1.1	91	98.9			92	65.2			92	65.2
	FEMALE			48	100			48	34.0			48	34.0
	UNKNOWN			1	100			1	0.7			1	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	1	0.7	140	99.3			141	0.6			141	0.6
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	18	0.4	4536	99.5	6	0.1	4560	71.9	20	64.5	4580	71.9
	FEMALE	7	0.4	1719	99.3	5	0.3	1731	27.3	10	32.3	1741	27.3
	TG	1	7.1	13	92.9			14	0.2	1	3.2	15	0.2

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 6. NON-OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR and GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK and GENDER		TOTAL BY RISK and GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	UNKNOWN			38	100			38	0.6			38	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	26	0.4	6306	99.4	11	0.2	6343	25.3	31	24.2	6374	25.3
PARTNER HAS MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	14	0.6	2252	99.3	3	0.1	2269	62.7	14	56.0	2283	62.6
	FEMALE	2	0.2	1321	99.5	5	0.4	1328	36.7	11	44.0	1339	36.7
	TG			4	100			4	0.1			4	0.1
	UNKNOWN			20	100			20	0.6			20	0.5
	SUBTOTAL	16	0.4	3597	99.3	8	0.2	3621	14.4	25	19.5	3646	14.5
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE			62	100			62	55.4			62	54.9
	FEMALE	1	2.0	49	98.0			50	44.6	1	100	51	45.1
	SUBTOTAL	1	0.9	111	99.1			112	0.4	1	0.8	113	0.4
PARENT RISK	MALE			3	100			3	100			3	100
	SUBTOTAL			3	100			3	0.0			3	0.0
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	7	0.5	1427	99.4	1	0.1	1435	56.6	20	71.4	1455	56.8
	FEMALE	4	0.4	1072	99.4	3	0.3	1079	42.6	6	21.4	1085	42.3
	TG			2	100			2	0.1			2	0.1
	UNKNOWN	1	5.3	18	94.7			19	0.7	2	7.1	21	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	12	0.5	2519	99.4	4	0.2	2535	10.1	28	21.9	2563	10.2
UNKNOWN	MALE	30	10.2	263	89.5	1	0.3	294	62.7	6	54.5	300	62.5
	FEMALE	5	3.1	158	96.9			163	34.8	4	36.4	167	34.8
	TG	2	100					2	0.4			2	0.4
	UNKNOWN			10	100			10	2.1	1	9.1	11	2.3
	SUBTOTAL	37	7.9	431	91.9	1	0.2	469	1.9	11	8.6	480	1.9
TOTAL BY RESULT		242	1.0	24814	98.9	39	0.2	25095	100	128	100	25223	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 OA = Office of AIDS
 TG = Transgendered

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 7. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY RACE/ETHNICITY and GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RACE and GENDER		TOTAL BY RACE and GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
AFRICAN AMERICAN	MALE	468	2.9	15704	97.0	21	0.1	16193	56.4	403	64.9	16596	56.6
	FEMALE	154	1.3	11990	98.6	11	0.1	12155	42.3	208	33.5	12363	42.1
	TG	9	11.7	68	88.3			77	0.3			77	0.3
	UNKNOWN	4	1.3	295	98.7			299	1.0	10	1.6	309	1.1
	SUBTOTAL	635	2.2	28057	97.7	32	0.1	28724	15.8	621	10.0	29345	15.6
AMERICAN INDIAN	MALE	18	1.4	1278	98.1	7	0.5	1303	54.1	24	64.9	1327	54.3
	FEMALE	4	0.4	1058	99.4	2	0.2	1064	44.2	13	35.1	1077	44.0
	TG			6	100			6	0.2			6	0.2
	UNKNOWN			36	100			36	1.5			36	1.5
	SUBTOTAL	22	0.9	2378	98.7	9	0.4	2409	1.3	37	0.6	2446	1.3
ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER	MALE	72	1.4	5187	98.5	5	0.1	5264	53.6	325	73.9	5589	54.5
	FEMALE	8	0.2	4431	99.7	4	0.1	4443	45.3	114	25.9	4557	44.4
	TG	1	3.0	32	97.0			33	0.3			33	0.3
	UNKNOWN	1	1.3	77	98.7			78	0.8	1	0.2	79	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	82	0.8	9727	99.1	9	0.1	9818	5.4	440	7.1	10258	5.5
LATINO	MALE	614	2.0	30638	97.9	59	0.2	31311	57.2	701	50.1	32012	57.0
	FEMALE	87	0.4	22528	99.4	46	0.2	22661	41.4	672	48.0	23333	41.6
	TG	14	6.4	205	93.6			219	0.4	7	0.5	226	0.4
	UNKNOWN	11	2.0	530	97.6	2	0.4	543	1.0	20	1.4	563	1.0
	SUBTOTAL	726	1.3	53901	98.5	107	0.2	54734	30.1	1400	22.6	56134	29.8
WHITE	MALE	621	1.3	47247	98.5	78	0.2	47946	61.0	2376	73.4	50322	61.5
	FEMALE	85	0.3	29748	99.6	41	0.1	29874	38.0	847	26.2	30721	37.5
	TG	3	2.5	116	97.5			119	0.2	3	0.1	122	0.1
	UNKNOWN	2	0.3	638	99.4	2	0.3	642	0.8	13	0.4	655	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	711	0.9	77749	98.9	121	0.2	78581	43.2	3239	52.3	81820	43.5
OTHER RACE	MALE	52	1.8	2791	98.0	6	0.2	2849	60.4	116	65.5	2965	60.6
	FEMALE	11	0.6	1797	99.2	4	0.2	1812	38.4	41	23.2	1853	37.8
	TG			8	100			8	0.2			8	0.2
	UNKNOWN	1	2.0	49	98.0			50	1.1	20	11.3	70	1.4
	SUBTOTAL	64	1.4	4645	98.4	10	0.2	4719	2.6	177	2.9	4896	2.6
UNKNOWN	MALE	19	1.4	1303	98.4	2	0.2	1324	45.3	117	41.3	1441	44.9
	FEMALE	7	0.7	981	99.2	1	0.1	989	33.8	55	19.4	1044	32.5
	TG			8	100			8	0.3			8	0.2
	UNKNOWN	1	0.2	602	99.7	1	0.2	604	20.6	111	39.2	715	22.3
	SUBTOTAL	27	0.9	2894	98.9	4	0.1	2925	1.6	283	4.6	3208	1.7
TOTAL BY RESULT		2267	1.2	179351	98.6	292	0.2	181910	100	6197	100	188107	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 OA = Office of AIDS
 TG = Transgendered

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 8. 0A-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY AGE GROUP and GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGE GROUP and GENDER		TOTAL BY AGE GROUP and GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
0-11 YEARS	MALE	5	3.5	139	96.5			144	56.9	1	33.3	145	56.6
	FEMALE			97	100			97	38.3	2	66.7	99	38.7
	TG			1	100			1	0.4			1	0.4
	UNKNOWN	1	9.1	10	90.9			11	4.3			11	4.3
	SUBTOTAL	6	2.4	247	97.6			253	0.1	3	0.0	256	0.1
12-19 YEARS	MALE	43	0.4	10577	99.5	15	0.1	10635	47.4	243	47.9	10878	47.4
	FEMALE	17	0.1	11446	99.7	16	0.1	11479	51.2	259	51.1	11738	51.2
	TG			34	100			34	0.2			34	0.1
	UNKNOWN	1	0.3	290	99.7			291	1.3	5	1.0	296	1.3
	SUBTOTAL	61	0.3	22347	99.6	31	0.1	22439	12.3	507	8.2	22946	12.2
20-29 YEARS	MALE	469	1.3	35159	98.5	55	0.2	35683	56.8	1171	56.1	36854	56.8
	FEMALE	83	0.3	26080	99.5	35	0.1	26198	41.7	899	43.0	27097	41.8
	TG	18	8.7	190	91.3			208	0.3	3	0.1	211	0.3
	UNKNOWN	5	0.7	689	99.0	2	0.3	696	1.1	16	0.8	712	1.1
	SUBTOTAL	575	0.9	62118	98.9	92	0.1	62785	34.5	2089	33.7	64874	34.5
30-39 YEARS	MALE	797	2.7	28641	97.1	48	0.2	29486	61.4	1477	74.8	30963	61.9
	FEMALE	126	0.7	17798	99.1	29	0.2	17953	37.4	473	24.0	18426	36.8
	TG	7	5.6	118	94.4			125	0.3	5	0.3	130	0.3
	UNKNOWN	7	1.5	472	97.9	3	0.6	482	1.0	19	1.0	501	1.0
	SUBTOTAL	937	2.0	47029	97.9	80	0.2	48046	26.4	1974	31.9	50020	26.6
40-49 YEARS	MALE	397	2.1	18748	97.7	35	0.2	19180	60.9	677	75.8	19857	61.3
	FEMALE	104	0.9	11817	99.0	19	0.2	11940	37.9	209	23.4	12149	37.5
	TG	2	2.6	76	97.4			78	0.2	1	0.1	79	0.2
	UNKNOWN	2	0.7	292	99.3			294	0.9	6	0.7	300	0.9
	SUBTOTAL	505	1.6	30933	98.2	54	0.2	31492	17.3	893	14.4	32385	17.2
50-59 YEARS	MALE	125	1.7	7264	98.1	13	0.2	7402	65.9	272	84.2	7674	66.4
	FEMALE	18	0.5	3676	99.3	8	0.2	3702	32.9	48	14.9	3750	32.4
	TG			20	100			20	0.2	1	0.3	21	0.2
	UNKNOWN	2	1.8	112	98.2			114	1.0	2	0.6	116	1.0
	SUBTOTAL	145	1.3	11072	98.5	21	0.2	11238	6.2	323	5.2	11561	6.1
60 YEARS & OLDER	MALE	17	0.6	2742	99.0	12	0.4	2771	76.3	88	92.6	2859	76.7
	FEMALE	5	0.6	819	99.3	1	0.1	825	22.7	6	6.3	831	22.3
	UNKNOWN			37	100			37	1.0	1	1.1	38	1.0
	SUBTOTAL	22	0.6	3598	99.0	13	0.4	3633	2.0	95	1.5	3728	2.0

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 8. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY AGE GROUP and GENDER		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGE GROUP and GENDER		TOTAL BY AGE GROUP and GENDER			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
UNKNOWN	MALE	11	1.2	878	98.8			889	43.9	133	42.5	1022	43.7
	FEMALE	3	0.4	800	99.5	1	0.1	804	39.7	54	17.3	858	36.7
	TG			4	100			4	0.2			4	0.2
	UNKNOWN	2	0.6	325	99.4			327	16.2	126	40.3	453	19.4
	SUBTOTAL	16	0.8	2007	99.2	1	0.0	2024	1.1	313	5.1	2337	1.2
SUBTOTALS BY GENDER													
MALE		1864	1.8	104148	98.1	178	0.2	106190	58.4	4062	65.5	110252	58.6
FEMALE		356	0.5	72533	99.4	109	0.1	72998	40.1	1950	31.5	74948	39.8
TG		27	5.7	443	94.3			470	0.3	10	0.2	480	0.3
UNKNOWN		20	0.9	2227	98.9	5	0.2	2252	1.2	175	2.8	2427	1.3
TOTAL BY RESULT		2267	1.2	179351	98.6	292	0.2	181910	100	6197	100	188107	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 OA = Office of AIDS
 TG = Transgendered

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 9. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER and AGE GROUP		AGE GROUP																TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0-11 YEARS		12-19 YEARS		20-29 YEARS		30-39 YEARS		40-49 YEARS		50-59 YEARS		60 YEARS & OLDER		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	11	0.0	1052	4.6	7164	31.7	8849	39.1	3585	15.8	1225	5.4	685	3.0	60	0.3	22631	100
	SUBTOTAL	11	0.0	1052	4.6	7164	31.7	8849	39.1	3585	15.8	1225	5.4	685	3.0	60	0.3	22631	12.0
BISEXUAL	MALE	2	0.0	531	9.7	2024	36.8	1601	29.1	831	15.1	350	6.4	145	2.6	9	0.2	5493	61.3
	FEMALE	1	0.0	664	19.1	1634	47.0	784	22.6	333	9.6	47	1.4	6	0.2	4	0.1	3473	38.7
	SUBTOTAL	3	0.0	1195	13.3	3658	40.8	2385	26.6	1164	13.0	397	4.4	151	1.7	13	0.1	8966	4.8
IDU	MALE	7	0.1	441	4.0	1996	18.0	3183	28.8	3827	34.6	1379	12.5	203	1.8	24	0.2	11060	57.2
	FEMALE	2	0.0	416	5.2	1577	19.7	2844	35.6	2567	32.1	506	6.3	53	0.7	25	0.3	7990	41.3
	TG	1	1.1	7	7.5	42	45.2	27	29.0	14	15.1	2	2.2					93	0.5
	UNKNOWN			10	5.2	30	15.6	58	30.2	55	28.6	25	13.0	3	1.6	11	5.7	192	1.0
	SUBTOTAL	10	0.1	874	4.5	3645	18.9	6112	31.6	6463	33.4	1912	9.9	259	1.3	60	0.3	19335	10.3
GAY/IDU	MALE	2	0.1	75	4.1	497	27.1	638	34.8	466	25.4	126	6.9	19	1.0	10	0.5	1833	100
	SUBTOTAL	2	0.1	75	4.1	497	27.1	638	34.8	466	25.4	126	6.9	19	1.0	10	0.5	1833	1.0
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	1	0.1	57	5.3	275	25.7	338	31.6	256	23.9	110	10.3	30	2.8	2	0.2	1069	40.3
	FEMALE			102	6.9	361	24.4	508	34.3	372	25.1	110	7.4	17	1.1	10	0.7	1480	55.8
	TG					11	40.7	7	25.9	7	25.9	2	7.4					27	1.0
	UNKNOWN					28	37.3	29	38.7	14	18.7	3	4.0			1	1.3	75	2.8
	SUBTOTAL	1	0.0	159	6.0	675	25.5	882	33.3	649	24.5	225	8.5	47	1.8	13	0.5	2651	1.4
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE			237	9.4	869	34.5	671	26.7	521	20.7	176	7.0	32	1.3	11	0.4	2517	100
	SUBTOTAL			237	9.4	869	34.5	671	26.7	521	20.7	176	7.0	32	1.3	11	0.4	2517	1.3
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	1	0.0	459	8.7	1522	28.8	1432	27.1	1227	23.2	487	9.2	143	2.7	12	0.2	5283	48.1
	FEMALE	3	0.1	718	13.0	1729	31.4	1606	29.2	1131	20.5	280	5.1	29	0.5	9	0.2	5505	50.2
	TG			4	11.1	14	38.9	10	27.8	7	19.4	1	2.8					36	0.3
	UNKNOWN	1	0.7	12	7.9	50	33.1	37	24.5	33	21.9	12	7.9	3	2.0	3	2.0	151	1.4
	SUBTOTAL	5	0.0	1193	10.9	3315	30.2	3085	28.1	2398	21.8	780	7.1	175	1.6	24	0.2	10975	5.8
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE			106	17.0	204	32.6	153	24.5	130	20.8	23	3.7	7	1.1	2	0.3	625	33.6
	FEMALE			170	15.0	237	21.0	424	37.5	255	22.5	33	2.9	9	0.8	3	0.3	1131	60.8
	TG			8	10.4	45	58.4	14	18.2	6	7.8	2	2.6			2	2.6	77	4.1
	UNKNOWN			5	18.5	13	48.1	3	11.1			4	14.8			2	7.4	27	1.5
	SUBTOTAL			289	15.5	499	26.8	594	31.9	391	21.0	62	3.3	16	0.9	9	0.5	1860	1.0
TRANSFUSION	MALE	1	0.2	34	5.8	145	24.8	144	24.6	142	24.3	74	12.6	43	7.4	2	0.3	585	44.2
	FEMALE			58	8.0	184	25.3	166	22.8	163	22.4	92	12.6	56	7.7	9	1.2	728	54.9
	TG							2	66.7			1	33.3					3	0.2
	UNKNOWN					2	22.2	4	44.4			2	22.2			1	11.1	9	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	1	0.1	92	6.9	331	25.0	316	23.8	305	23.0	169	12.8	99	7.5	12	0.9	1325	0.7

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 9. 0A-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER and AGE GROUP		AGE GROUP																TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0-11 YEARS		12-19 YEARS		20-29 YEARS		30-39 YEARS		40-49 YEARS		50-59 YEARS		60 YEARS & OLDER		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HETEROSEXUAL- /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	23	0.1	5373	15.0	14999	41.9	8363	23.4	4624	12.9	1709	4.8	633	1.8	86	0.2	35810	61.5
	FEMALE	12	0.1	4682	21.9	9756	45.6	4214	19.7	2064	9.6	542	2.5	94	0.4	45	0.2	21409	36.8
	TG			10	6.9	72	50.0	38	26.4	17	11.8	5	3.5			2	1.4	144	0.2
	UNKNOWN	2	0.2	164	19.3	344	40.4	188	22.1	75	8.8	27	3.2	16	1.9	35	4.1	851	1.5
	SUBTOTAL	37	0.1	10229	17.6	25171	43.2	12803	22.0	6780	11.6	2283	3.9	743	1.3	168	0.3	58214	30.9
PARTNER HAS MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	8	0.1	1580	11.5	4841	35.2	3347	24.4	2465	17.9	1031	7.5	442	3.2	22	0.2	13736	42.7
	FEMALE	14	0.1	2908	16.2	6591	36.7	4298	23.9	2755	15.3	1077	6.0	269	1.5	51	0.3	17963	55.9
	TG			3	7.3	14	34.1	11	26.8	9	22.0	4	9.8					41	0.1
	UNKNOWN	2	0.5	75	19.1	123	31.3	93	23.7	58	14.8	20	5.1	6	1.5	16	4.1	393	1.2
	SUBTOTAL	24	0.1	4566	14.2	11569	36.0	7749	24.1	5287	16.5	2132	6.6	717	2.2	89	0.3	32133	17.1
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	2	0.7	19	6.2	108	35.3	85	27.8	52	17.0	28	9.2	8	2.6	4	1.3	306	46.6
	FEMALE			28	8.1	122	35.4	81	23.5	63	18.3	41	11.9	9	2.6	1	0.3	345	52.6
	UNKNOWN					1	20.0	1	20.0	3	60.0							5	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	2	0.3	47	7.2	231	35.2	167	25.5	118	18.0	69	10.5	17	2.6	5	0.8	656	0.3
PARENT RISK	MALE	11	100															11	52.4
	FEMALE	10	100															10	47.6
	SUBTOTAL	21	100															21	0.0
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	26	0.3	1061	12.4	2590	30.4	2125	24.9	1551	18.2	767	9.0	382	4.5	31	0.4	8533	45.7
	FEMALE	17	0.2	1511	15.4	3479	35.5	2297	23.5	1513	15.5	688	7.0	231	2.4	52	0.5	9788	52.4
	TG			2	6.9	7	24.1	9	31.0	8	27.6	3	10.3					29	0.2
	UNKNOWN	5	1.6	23	7.2	116	36.1	84	26.2	55	17.1	21	6.5	8	2.5	9	2.8	321	1.7
	SUBTOTAL	48	0.3	2597	13.9	6192	33.2	4515	24.2	3127	16.7	1479	7.9	621	3.3	92	0.5	18671	9.9
UNKNOWN	MALE	50	1.5	90	2.7	489	14.9	705	21.5	701	21.4	365	11.1	119	3.6	758	23.1	3277	51.9
	FEMALE	40	1.5	244	9.4	558	21.4	533	20.4	412	15.8	158	6.1	26	1.0	638	24.5	2609	41.3
	TG					6	20.0	12	40.0	11	36.7	1	3.3					30	0.5
	UNKNOWN	1	0.2	7	1.7	5	1.2	4	1.0	7	1.7	2	0.5	2	0.5	375	93.1	403	6.4
	SUBTOTAL	91	1.4	341	5.4	1058	16.7	1254	19.8	1131	17.9	526	8.3	147	2.3	1771	28.0	6319	3.4
TOTAL BY AGE GROUP		256	0.1	22946	12.2	64874	34.5	50020	26.6	32385	17.2	11561	6.1	3728	2.0	2337	1.2	188107	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 OA = Office of AIDS
 TG = Transgendered

ALL SERVICES
FEMALE CLIENTS ONLY

TABLE 10. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR and PREGNANCY STATUS		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY RISK BEHAVIOR and PREGNANCY STATUS		TOTAL BY RISK BEHAVIOR and PREGNANCY STATUS			
												NUMBER	%
RISK BEHAVIOR	PREGNANT												
BISEXUAL	NO	6	0.2	3320	99.7	3	0.1	3329	98.4	89	97.8	3418	98.4
	YES			53	100			53	1.6	2	2.2	55	1.6
IDU	NO	75	1.0	7681	98.8	19	0.2	7775	98.1	65	95.6	7840	98.1
	YES	1	0.7	146	99.3			147	1.9	3	4.4	150	1.9
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	NO	60	4.2	1368	95.5	5	0.3	1433	98.6	26	100	1459	98.6
	YES			21	100			21	1.4			21	1.4
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	NO	4	0.2	2451	99.6	5	0.2	2460	98.7	23	95.8	2483	98.6
	YES			33	100			33	1.3	1	4.2	34	1.4
PARTNER OF IDU	NO	32	0.6	5307	99.2	10	0.2	5349	97.9	38	95.0	5387	97.9
	YES			116	100			116	2.1	2	5.0	118	2.1
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	NO	7	0.6	1079	99.3	1	0.1	1087	96.6	6	100	1093	96.6
	YES			38	100			38	3.4			38	3.4
TRANSFUSION	NO	2	0.3	630	99.2	3	0.5	635	88.7	8	66.7	643	88.3
	YES			81	100			81	11.3	4	33.3	85	11.7
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	NO	35	0.2	20290	99.7	26	0.1	20351	97.9	601	97.2	20952	97.9
	YES	5	1.1	435	98.9			440	2.1	17	2.8	457	2.1
PARTNER HAS MULTIPLE PARTNERS	NO	39	0.2	16315	99.6	25	0.2	16379	93.1	255	69.7	16634	92.6
	YES	3	0.2	1215	99.8			1218	6.9	111	30.3	1329	7.4
OCCUPATIONAL	NO	2	0.6	319	99.1	1	0.3	322	93.6	1	100	323	93.6
	YES			22	100			22	6.4			22	6.4
PARENT RISK	NO			10	100			10	100			10	100
NO REPORTED RISK	NO	40	0.5	8228	99.4	10	0.1	8278	89.4	377	71.7	8655	88.4
	YES			983	99.9	1	0.1	984	10.6	149	28.3	1133	11.6
UNKNOWN	NO	44	2.0	2174	98.0			2218	91.0	151	87.8	2369	90.8
	YES	1	0.5	218	99.5			219	9.0	21	12.2	240	9.2
SUBTOTALS BY PREGNANCY STATUS													
NO		346	0.5	69172	99.3	108	0.2	69626	95.4	1640	84.1	71266	95.1
YES		10	0.3	3361	99.7	1	0.0	3372	4.6	310	15.9	3682	4.9
TOTAL BY RESULT		356	0.5	72533	99.4	109	0.1	72998	100	1950	100	74948	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
IDU = Injection Drug User
OA = Office of AIDS

ALL SERVICES
 OUTREACH SERVICES: CLINIC TYPE EQUALS MOBILE VAN OR
 REFERRAL SOURCE EQUALS REFERRED BY OA OUTREACH

TABLE 11. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR GENDER		TESTED						NOT TESTED		TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE					
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	65	3.9	1577	95.6	8	0.5	5	100	1655	100
	SUBTOTAL	65	3.9	1577	95.6	8	0.5	5	100	1655	4.9
BISEXUAL	MALE	25	2.9	824	97.1			3	100	852	53.5
	FEMALE	1	0.1	740	99.9					741	46.5
	SUBTOTAL	26	1.6	1564	98.4			3	100	1593	4.7
IDU	MALE	67	1.4	4674	98.4	8	0.2	11	100	4760	59.6
	FEMALE	37	1.2	3083	98.6	6	0.2	11	100	3137	39.3
	TG	4	12.5	28	87.5					32	0.4
	UNKNOWN	1	1.6	61	98.4					62	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	109	1.4	7846	98.5	14	0.2	22	100	7991	23.7
GAY/IDU	MALE	21	4.5	444	94.7	4	0.9	1	100	470	100
	SUBTOTAL	21	4.5	444	94.7	4	0.9	1	100	470	1.4
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	2	1.5	131	98.5			1	100	134	40.9
	FEMALE	5	2.7	181	97.3					186	56.7
	TG	1	20.0	4	80.0					5	1.5
	UNKNOWN			3	100					3	0.9
	SUBTOTAL	8	2.4	319	97.6			1	100	328	1.0
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE			468	100			1	100	469	100
	SUBTOTAL			468	100			1	100	469	1.4
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	14	0.8	1740	99.0	3	0.2	6	100	1763	55.1
	FEMALE	11	0.8	1368	98.8	5	0.4	3	100	1387	43.3
	TG			7	100					7	0.2
	UNKNOWN			43	100					43	1.3
	SUBTOTAL	25	0.8	3158	99.0	8	0.3	9	100	3200	9.5
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	6	2.1	276	97.9					282	37.9
	FEMALE	5	1.1	439	98.7	1	0.2			445	59.8
	TG			11	100					11	1.5
	UNKNOWN			6	100					6	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	11	1.5	732	98.4	1	0.1			744	2.2
TRANSFUSION	MALE	1	1.0	96	99.0					97	46.2
	FEMALE			113	100					113	53.8
	SUBTOTAL	1	0.5	209	99.5					210	0.6

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES
 OUTREACH SERVICES: CLINIC TYPE EQUALS MOBILE VAN OR
 REFERRAL SOURCE EQUALS REFERRED BY OA OUTREACH

TABLE 11. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR GENDER		TESTED						NOT TESTED		TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE					
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	26	0.5	5461	99.4	6	0.1	32	100	5525	66.2
	FEMALE	10	0.4	2721	99.5	3	0.1	9	100	2743	32.8
	TG	2	11.1	16	88.9					18	0.2
	UNKNOWN			62	98.4	1	1.6	2	100	65	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	38	0.5	8260	99.4	10	0.1	43	100	8351	24.8
PARTNER HAS MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	12	0.4	2661	99.4	4	0.1	12	100	2689	50.8
	FEMALE	8	0.3	2544	99.6	3	0.1	11	100	2566	48.5
	TG			4	100					4	0.1
	UNKNOWN			33	100					33	0.6
	SUBTOTAL	20	0.4	5242	99.5	7	0.1	23	100	5292	15.7
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE			26	100					26	35.6
	FEMALE			47	100					47	64.4
	SUBTOTAL			73	100					73	0.2
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	8	0.5	1606	99.5			21	100	1635	52.5
	FEMALE	6	0.4	1433	99.5	1	0.1	18	100	1458	46.8
	TG			4	100					4	0.1
	UNKNOWN			16	100					16	0.5
	SUBTOTAL	14	0.5	3059	99.5	1	0.0	39	100	3113	9.2
UNKNOWN	MALE	3	4.5	64	95.5			20	100	87	38.5
	FEMALE			41	100			21	100	62	27.4
	TG			1	100					1	0.4
	UNKNOWN			76	100					76	33.6
	SUBTOTAL	3	1.6	182	98.4			41	100	226	0.7
TOTAL BY TEST RESULT		341	1.0	33133	98.8	53	0.2	188	100	33715	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 OA = Office of AIDS
 TG = Transgendered

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 12. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER and STD HISTORY		HAS/HAD STD (EVER)						TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		YES		NO		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	6432	28.4	15860	70.1	339	1.5	22631	100
BISEXUAL	MALE	1407	25.6	4015	73.1	71	1.3	5493	61.3
	FEMALE	1154	33.2	2289	65.9	30	0.9	3473	38.7
IDU	MALE	3344	30.2	7579	68.5	137	1.2	11060	57.2
	FEMALE	3096	38.7	4793	60.0	101	1.3	7990	41.3
	TG	31	33.3	60	64.5	2	2.2	93	0.5
	UNKNOWN	65	33.9	120	62.5	7	3.6	192	1.0
GAY/IDU	MALE	727	39.7	1075	58.6	31	1.7	1833	100
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	296	27.7	759	71.0	14	1.3	1069	40.3
	FEMALE	419	28.3	1039	70.2	22	1.5	1480	55.8
	TG	9	33.3	18	66.7			27	1.0
	UNKNOWN	16	21.3	56	74.7	3	4.0	75	2.8
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	939	37.3	1552	61.7	26	1.0	2517	100
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	1490	28.2	3743	70.8	50	0.9	5283	48.1
	FEMALE	1686	30.6	3771	68.5	48	0.9	5505	50.2
	TG	15	41.7	21	58.3			36	0.3
	UNKNOWN	52	34.4	95	62.9	4	2.6	151	1.4
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	200	32.0	421	67.4	4	0.6	625	33.6
	FEMALE	566	50.0	553	48.9	12	1.1	1131	60.8
	TG	19	24.7	57	74.0	1	1.3	77	4.1
	UNKNOWN	9	33.3	16	59.3	2	7.4	27	1.5
TRANSFUSION	MALE	168	28.7	412	70.4	5	0.9	585	44.2
	FEMALE	164	22.5	553	76.0	11	1.5	728	54.9
	TG			3	100			3	0.2
	UNKNOWN	3	33.3	6	66.7			9	0.7
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	7997	22.3	27440	76.6	373	1.0	35810	61.5
	FEMALE	5573	26.0	15591	72.8	245	1.1	21409	36.8
	TG	18	12.5	123	85.4	3	2.1	144	0.2
	UNKNOWN	217	25.5	613	72.0	21	2.5	851	1.5
PARTNER HAS MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	2434	17.7	11146	81.1	156	1.1	13736	42.7
	FEMALE	3703	20.6	14068	78.3	192	1.1	17963	55.9
	TG	9	22.0	32	78.0			41	0.1
	UNKNOWN	76	19.3	307	78.1	10	2.5	393	1.2

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 12. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER and STD HISTORY		HAS/HAD STD (EVER)						TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		YES		NO		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	32	10.5	203	66.3	71	23.2	306	46.6
	FEMALE	54	15.7	256	74.2	35	10.1	345	52.6
	UNKNOWN	2	40.0	2	40.0	1	20.0	5	0.8
PARENT RISK	MALE			9	81.8	2	18.2	11	52.4
	FEMALE			9	90.0	1	10.0	10	47.6
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	1170	13.7	7158	83.9	205	2.4	8533	45.7
	FEMALE	1327	13.6	8185	83.6	276	2.8	9788	52.4
	TG	4	13.8	23	79.3	2	6.9	29	0.2
	UNKNOWN	45	14.0	265	82.6	11	3.4	321	1.7
UNKNOWN	MALE	13	0.4	56	1.7	3208	97.9	3277	51.9
	FEMALE	6	0.2	41	1.6	2562	98.2	2609	41.3
	TG			1	3.3	29	96.7	30	0.5
	UNKNOWN	3	0.7	2	0.5	398	98.8	403	6.4
TOTAL BY STD HISTORY		44990	23.9	134396	71.4	8721	4.6	188107	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:

IDU = Injection Drug User

OA = Office of AIDS

TG = Transgendered

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 13. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER and NUMBER OF PARTNERS		NUMBER OF PARTNERS IN PRIOR YEAR																TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0		1		2		3		4		5		6 or MORE		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	259	1.1	4037	17.8	3129	13.8	2746	12.1	1934	8.5	1770	7.8	8679	38.4	77	0.3	22631	100
BISexual	MALE	75	1.4	528	9.6	1023	18.6	887	16.1	631	11.5	458	8.3	1880	34.2	11	0.2	5493	61.3
	FEMALE	47	1.4	477	13.7	802	23.1	683	19.7	385	11.1	273	7.9	802	23.1	4	0.1	3473	38.7
IDU	MALE	1325	12.0	3890	35.2	2008	18.2	1326	12.0	689	6.2	476	4.3	1298	11.7	48	0.4	11060	57.2
	FEMALE	505	6.3	3068	38.4	1512	18.9	872	10.9	388	4.9	307	3.8	1311	16.4	27	0.3	7990	41.3
	TG			16	17.2	15	16.1	12	12.9	8	8.6	6	6.5	36	38.7			93	0.5
	UNKNOWN	12	6.3	59	30.7	37	19.3	25	13.0	13	6.8	12	6.3	32	16.7	2	1.0	192	1.0
GAY/IDU	MALE	70	3.8	292	15.9	265	14.5	238	13.0	159	8.7	130	7.1	672	36.7	7	0.4	1833	100
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	91	8.5	414	38.7	167	15.6	128	12.0	66	6.2	51	4.8	145	13.6	7	0.7	1069	40.3
	FEMALE	106	7.2	766	51.8	258	17.4	132	8.9	55	3.7	41	2.8	112	7.6	10	0.7	1480	55.8
	TG			3	11.1	2	7.4	4	14.8	2	7.4	1	3.7	15	55.6			27	1.0
	UNKNOWN			14	18.7	14	18.7	8	10.7	7	9.3	4	5.3	28	37.3			75	2.8
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	141	5.6	854	33.9	595	23.6	365	14.5	183	7.3	122	4.8	247	9.8	10	0.4	2517	100
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	297	5.6	1198	22.7	1087	20.6	771	14.6	522	9.9	354	6.7	1044	19.8	10	0.2	5283	48.1
	FEMALE	252	4.6	2074	37.7	1427	25.9	724	13.2	359	6.5	198	3.6	464	8.4	7	0.1	5505	50.2
	TG	1	2.8	9	25.0	7	19.4	4	11.1			3	8.3	12	33.3			36	0.3
	UNKNOWN	4	2.6	46	30.5	30	19.9	18	11.9	15	9.9	9	6.0	29	19.2			151	1.4
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	19	3.0	78	12.5	104	16.6	85	13.6	67	10.7	52	8.3	220	35.2			625	33.6
	FEMALE	23	2.0	224	19.8	170	15.0	152	13.4	100	8.8	81	7.2	375	33.2	6	0.5	1131	60.8
	TG	2	2.6	3	3.9	7	9.1	1	1.3	2	2.6	4	5.2	57	74.0	1	1.3	77	4.1
	UNKNOWN			5	18.5	4	14.8	3	11.1	3	11.1	2	7.4	7	25.9	3	11.1	27	1.5
TRANSFUSION	MALE	58	9.9	184	31.5	138	23.6	77	13.2	50	8.5	22	3.8	53	9.1	3	0.5	585	44.2
	FEMALE	88	12.1	417	57.3	139	19.1	47	6.5	19	2.6	10	1.4	6	0.8	2	0.3	728	54.9
	TG			2	66.7	1	33.3											3	0.2
	UNKNOWN			4	44.4	2	22.2	1	11.1	1	11.1			1	11.1			9	0.7
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE					14326	40.0	8568	23.9	4506	12.6	2687	7.5	5723	16.0			35810	61.5
	FEMALE					11632	54.3	5061	23.6	2030	9.5	1086	5.1	1600	7.5			21409	36.8
	TG					38	26.4	21	14.6	17	11.8	12	8.3	56	38.9			144	0.2
	UNKNOWN					340	40.0	187	22.0	104	12.2	71	8.3	149	17.5			851	1.5
PARTNER HAS MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	1150	8.4	12520	91.1											66	0.5	13736	42.7
	FEMALE	835	4.6	17086	95.1											42	0.2	17963	55.9
	TG	4	9.8	37	90.2													41	0.1
	UNKNOWN	13	3.3	377	95.9											3	0.8	393	1.2

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 13. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER and NUMBER OF PARTNERS		NUMBER OF PARTNERS IN PRIOR YEAR																TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0		1		2		3		4		5		6 or MORE		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	63	20.6	173	56.5											70	22.9	306	46.6
	FEMALE	84	24.3	230	66.7											31	9.0	345	52.6
	UNKNOWN			4	80.0											1	20.0	5	0.8
PARENT RISK	MALE	9	81.8													2	18.2	11	52.4
	FEMALE	9	90.0													1	10.0	10	47.6
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	2578	30.2	5955	69.8													8533	45.7
	FEMALE	1963	20.1	7825	79.9													9788	52.4
	TG	7	24.1	22	75.9													29	0.2
	UNKNOWN	73	22.7	248	77.3													321	1.7
UNKNOWN	MALE															3277	100	3277	51.9
	FEMALE															2609	100	2609	41.3
	TG															30	100	30	0.5
	UNKNOWN															403	100	403	6.4
TOTAL BY NUMBER OF PARTNERS		10163	5.4	63139	33.6	39279	20.9	23146	12.3	12315	6.5	8242	4.4	25053	13.3	6770	3.6	188107	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 OA = Office of AIDS
 TG = Transgendered

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 14. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER and NUMBER OF PRIOR TESTS		NUMBER OF PRIOR TESTS														TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0		1		2		3		4		5 or MORE		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	2535	11.2	3827	16.9	2420	10.7	2341	10.3	2021	8.9	9298	41.1	189	0.8	22631	100
BISEXUAL	MALE	1337	24.3	1335	24.3	746	13.6	491	8.9	355	6.5	1168	21.3	61	1.1	5493	61.3
	FEMALE	822	23.7	961	27.7	514	14.8	386	11.1	230	6.6	516	14.9	44	1.3	3473	38.7
IDU	MALE	2432	22.0	3167	28.6	1570	14.2	1158	10.5	729	6.6	1879	17.0	125	1.1	11060	57.2
	FEMALE	1235	15.5	2053	25.7	1239	15.5	911	11.4	629	7.9	1824	22.8	99	1.2	7990	41.3
	TG	5	5.4	9	9.7	9	9.7	7	7.5	8	8.6	53	57.0	2	2.2	93	0.5
	UNKNOWN	32	16.7	65	33.9	29	15.1	14	7.3	10	5.2	34	17.7	8	4.2	192	1.0
GAY/IDU	MALE	215	11.7	339	18.5	246	13.4	184	10.0	149	8.1	679	37.0	21	1.1	1833	100
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	296	27.7	261	24.4	146	13.7	108	10.1	68	6.4	176	16.5	14	1.3	1069	40.3
	FEMALE	259	17.5	378	25.5	220	14.9	134	9.1	130	8.8	342	23.1	17	1.1	1480	55.8
	TG	1	3.7	4	14.8	1	3.7	6	22.2	3	11.1	12	44.4			27	1.0
	UNKNOWN	6	8.0	14	18.7	7	9.3	4	5.3	3	4.0	40	53.3	1	1.3	75	2.8
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	646	25.7	741	29.4	390	15.5	244	9.7	144	5.7	321	12.8	31	1.2	2517	100
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	2140	40.5	1457	27.6	610	11.5	360	6.8	213	4.0	445	8.4	58	1.1	5283	48.1
	FEMALE	1880	34.2	1653	30.0	723	13.1	435	7.9	246	4.5	501	9.1	67	1.2	5505	50.2
	TG	9	25.0	9	25.0	4	11.1	3	8.3	6	16.7	4	11.1	1	2.8	36	0.3
	UNKNOWN	43	28.5	45	29.8	20	13.2	9	6.0	11	7.3	15	9.9	8	5.3	151	1.4
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	205	32.8	164	26.2	75	12.0	55	8.8	38	6.1	79	12.6	9	1.4	625	33.6
	FEMALE	221	19.5	338	29.9	153	13.5	122	10.8	77	6.8	203	17.9	17	1.5	1131	60.8
	TG	7	9.1	11	14.3	8	10.4	4	5.2	7	9.1	39	50.6	1	1.3	77	4.1
	UNKNOWN	9	33.3	7	25.9	2	7.4	4	14.8	1	3.7	1	3.7	3	11.1	27	1.5
TRANSFUSION	MALE	246	42.1	141	24.1	59	10.1	40	6.8	22	3.8	65	11.1	12	2.1	585	44.2
	FEMALE	322	44.2	200	27.5	72	9.9	52	7.1	24	3.3	44	6.0	14	1.9	728	54.9
	TG			1	33.3			2	66.7							3	0.2
	UNKNOWN	5	55.6	2	22.2	2	22.2									9	0.7
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	14294	39.9	10312	28.8	4188	11.7	2563	7.2	1447	4.0	2630	7.3	376	1.0	35810	61.5
	FEMALE	7526	35.2	6504	30.4	2959	13.8	1670	7.8	966	4.5	1554	7.3	230	1.1	21409	36.8
	TG	22	15.3	34	23.6	16	11.1	13	9.0	12	8.3	46	31.9	1	0.7	144	0.2
	UNKNOWN	280	32.9	239	28.1	79	9.3	61	7.2	23	2.7	88	10.3	81	9.5	851	1.5
PARTNER HAS MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	6407	46.6	4016	29.2	1380	10.0	710	5.2	363	2.6	689	5.0	171	1.2	13736	42.7
	FEMALE	7890	43.9	5465	30.4	1978	11.0	1011	5.6	549	3.1	881	4.9	189	1.1	17963	55.9
	TG	9	22.0	11	26.8	12	29.3	3	7.3	2	4.9	4	9.8			41	0.1
	UNKNOWN	159	40.5	116	29.5	30	7.6	21	5.3	10	2.5	14	3.6	43	10.9	393	1.2

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 14. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER and NUMBER OF PRIOR TESTS		NUMBER OF PRIOR TESTS														TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0		1		2		3		4		5 or MORE		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	103	33.7	100	32.7	36	11.8	17	5.6	11	3.6	33	10.8	6	2.0	306	46.6
	FEMALE	136	39.4	86	24.9	51	14.8	29	8.4	16	4.6	23	6.7	4	1.2	345	52.6
	UNKNOWN	2	40.0	3	60.0											5	0.8
PARENT RISK	MALE	10	90.9			1	9.1									11	52.4
	FEMALE	8	80.0	1	10.0							1	10.0			10	47.6
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	4340	50.9	2194	25.7	786	9.2	427	5.0	218	2.6	418	4.9	150	1.8	8533	45.7
	FEMALE	4987	51.0	2493	25.5	982	10.0	474	4.8	246	2.5	412	4.2	194	2.0	9788	52.4
	TG	8	27.6	6	20.7	1	3.4	3	10.3	3	10.3	7	24.1	1	3.4	29	0.2
	UNKNOWN	143	44.5	76	23.7	33	10.3	14	4.4	16	5.0	15	4.7	24	7.5	321	1.7
UNKNOWN	MALE	788	24.0	1098	33.5	49	1.5	58	1.8	27	0.8	125	3.8	1132	34.5	3277	51.9
	FEMALE	730	28.0	770	29.5	69	2.6	34	1.3	9	0.3	42	1.6	955	36.6	2609	41.3
	TG	3	10.0	18	60.0	1	3.3	1	3.3			2	6.7	5	16.7	30	0.5
	UNKNOWN	20	5.0	15	3.7	1	0.2	4	1.0	1	0.2	8	2.0	354	87.8	403	6.4
TOTAL BY NUMBER OF PRIOR TESTS		62773	33.4	50739	27.0	21917	11.7	14187	7.5	9043	4.8	24730	13.1	4718	2.5	188107	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 OA = Office of AIDS
 TG = Transgendered

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 15. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM TYPE and AGENCY		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGENCY		TOTAL BY AGENCY			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	LHD/agency												
ATS PROGRAM	ALAMEDA	77	2.0	3785	97.9	3	0.1	3865	5.1	4	0.1	3869	4.8
	BUTTE	1	0.3	337	99.7			338	0.4	8	0.2	346	0.4
	CALAVERAS			1	100			1	0.0			1	0.0
	CONTRA COSTA	1	0.3	389	99.7			390	0.5	5	0.1	395	0.5
	FRESNO	17	1.7	956	98.3			973	1.3	5	0.1	978	1.2
	HUMBOLDT	1	0.1	871	99.8	1	0.1	873	1.1	1	0.0	874	1.1
	IMPERIAL			6	100			6	0.0			6	0.0
	INYO	1	1.9	53	98.1			54	0.1	1	0.0	55	0.1
	KERN	1	0.6	173	99.4			174	0.2	64	1.4	238	0.3
	LOS ANGELES	394	1.5	25127	98.1	93	0.4	25614	33.6	206	4.6	25820	32.0
	MARIN	2	0.4	559	99.6			561	0.7	1	0.0	562	0.7
	MENDOCINO	1	0.3	367	99.7			368	0.5	1	0.0	369	0.5
	MERCED	1	0.8	123	99.2			124	0.2			124	0.2
	MONTEREY	7	1.8	385	98.2			392	0.5	6	0.1	398	0.5
	NAPA	2	1.6	125	98.4			127	0.2			127	0.2
	NEVADA			14	100			14	0.0	1	0.0	15	0.0
	ORANGE	50	1.5	3196	98.2	7	0.2	3253	4.3	19	0.4	3272	4.1
	PLACER	2	0.4	486	99.6			488	0.6			488	0.6
	RIVERSIDE	35	1.4	2395	97.0	40	1.6	2470	3.2	9	0.2	2479	3.1
	SACRAMENTO	13	1.2	1042	98.7	1	0.1	1056	1.4	2	0.0	1058	1.3
	SAN BERNARDINO	26	1.2	2138	98.7	3	0.1	2167	2.8	21	0.5	2188	2.7
	SAN DIEGO	102	1.4	7104	98.6	2	0.0	7208	9.5	93	2.1	7301	9.1
	SAN FRANCISCO	132	2.0	6358	97.9	4	0.1	6494	8.5	3852	86.8	10346	12.8
	SAN JOAQUIN	4	1.1	353	98.9			357	0.5	6	0.1	363	0.5
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	3	0.3	1058	99.7			1061	1.4	3	0.1	1064	1.3
	SAN MATEO			118	100			118	0.2			118	0.1
	SANTA BARBARA	3	0.1	2016	99.8	1	0.0	2020	2.7	35	0.8	2055	2.6
	SANTA CLARA	24	1.0	2324	98.9	3	0.1	2351	3.1	22	0.5	2373	2.9
	SANTA CRUZ	9	0.7	1293	99.3			1302	1.7	1	0.0	1303	1.6
	SHASTA	2	0.5	370	99.5			372	0.5	2	0.0	374	0.5
	SOLANO	3	0.7	442	99.3			445	0.6	4	0.1	449	0.6
	SONOMA	14	0.6	2139	99.3	1	0.0	2154	2.8	7	0.2	2161	2.7
	STANISLAUS	10	1.6	618	98.4			628	0.8	1	0.0	629	0.8
	TULARE	3	0.7	436	99.3			439	0.6			439	0.5

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 15. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM TYPE and AGENCY		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGENCY		TOTAL BY AGENCY			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	LHD/agency												
ATS PROGRAM	TUOLUMNE			166	100			166	0.2			166	0.2
	VENTURA	4	0.4	1073	99.6			1077	1.4			1077	1.3
	YOLO	2	0.6	330	99.4			332	0.4			332	0.4
	LONG BEACH	31	1.8	1738	98.2			1769	2.3	16	0.4	1785	2.2
	PASADENA	11	1.5	730	98.5			741	1.0	2	0.0	743	0.9
	BERKELEY	18	0.5	3786	99.5	2	0.1	3806	5.0	38	0.9	3844	4.8
	SUBTOTAL	1007	1.3	74980	98.5	161	0.2	76148	41.9	4436	71.6	80584	42.8
NON-ATS LHD	LHD/agency												
	ALAMEDA	114	2.2	5015	97.8			5129	4.9	12	0.8	5141	4.9
	AMADOR	2	1.5	133	98.5			135	0.1	1	0.1	136	0.1
	BUTTE	2	0.2	817	99.8			819	0.8	15	0.9	834	0.8
	CALAVERAS			112	99.1	1	0.9	113	0.1	1	0.1	114	0.1
	COLUSA			9	100			9	0.0			9	0.0
	CONTRA COSTA	9	0.5	1976	99.2	7	0.4	1992	1.9	83	5.2	2075	2.0
	DEL NORTE			52	100			52	0.1			52	0.0
	EL DORADO	1	0.1	976	99.8	1	0.1	978	0.9	9	0.6	987	0.9
	FRESNO	34	1.4	2390	98.6	1	0.0	2425	2.3	31	2.0	2456	2.3
	GLENN	2	1.8	108	98.2			110	0.1			110	0.1
	HUMBOLDT	1	0.2	586	99.7	1	0.2	588	0.6	1	0.1	589	0.6
	IMPERIAL	4	0.8	501	98.8	2	0.4	507	0.5	5	0.3	512	0.5
	INYO			14	100			14	0.0	2	0.1	16	0.0
	KERN	55	1.3	4097	98.7			4152	4.0	124	7.8	4276	4.1
	KINGS			959	99.8	2	0.2	961	0.9	53	3.3	1014	1.0
	LAKE			251	100			251	0.2			251	0.2
	LOS ANGELES	225	2.8	7936	97.1	13	0.2	8174	7.9	58	3.7	8232	7.8
	MADERA	7	1.6	427	98.2	1	0.2	435	0.4			435	0.4
	MARIN	8	0.4	2118	99.5	2	0.1	2128	2.1	24	1.5	2152	2.0
	MARIPOSA			39	100			39	0.0			39	0.0
	MENDOCINO	2	0.3	773	99.6	1	0.1	776	0.7			776	0.7
	MERCED	4	0.9	462	98.7	2	0.4	468	0.5			468	0.4
	MODOC			5	100			5	0.0			5	0.0
	MONO			110	100			110	0.1			110	0.1
	MONTEREY	3	0.4	722	99.6			725	0.7			725	0.7
	NEVADA	3	0.4	805	99.6			808	0.8	3	0.2	811	0.8
	ORANGE	46	0.5	9033	99.4	10	0.1	9089	8.8	30	1.9	9119	8.7

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 15. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM TYPE and AGENCY		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGENCY		TOTAL BY AGENCY			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	LHD/agency												
NON-ATS LHD	PLACER	1	0.3	364	99.7			365	0.4			365	0.3
	PLUMAS			120	100			120	0.1			120	0.1
	RIVERSIDE	3	0.2	1347	97.4	33	2.4	1383	1.3	1	0.1	1384	1.3
	SACRAMENTO	21	0.4	4812	99.5	5	0.1	4838	4.7			4838	4.6
	SAN BENITO			29	100			29	0.0			29	0.0
	SAN BERNARDINO	12	0.3	3578	99.6	1	0.0	3591	3.5	17	1.1	3608	3.4
	SAN DIEGO	76	0.8	9905	99.2	6	0.1	9987	9.6	163	10.3	10150	9.6
	SAN FRANCISCO	423	2.8	14838	97.2	6	0.0	15267	14.7	73	4.6	15340	14.6
	SAN JOAQUIN	14	0.4	3176	99.5	1	0.0	3191	3.1	446	28.2	3637	3.5
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	2	0.1	1338	99.8	1	0.1	1341	1.3	4	0.3	1345	1.3
	SAN MATEO	26	0.9	2838	98.9	5	0.2	2869	2.8	6	0.4	2875	2.7
	SANTA BARBARA	2	0.2	869	99.8			871	0.8	122	7.7	993	0.9
	SANTA CLARA	17	1.0	1636	98.9	1	0.1	1654	1.6	4	0.3	1658	1.6
	SANTA CRUZ	2	0.8	257	99.2			259	0.2			259	0.2
	SHASTA	3	0.3	955	99.7			958	0.9	1	0.1	959	0.9
	SIERRA			7	100			7	0.0			7	0.0
	SISKIYOU	1	0.4	259	99.6			260	0.3	33	2.1	293	0.3
	SOLANO	8	2.1	366	97.6	1	0.3	375	0.4	1	0.1	376	0.4
	SONOMA	16	0.5	3170	99.5			3186	3.1	10	0.6	3196	3.0
	STANISLAUS	3	0.4	705	99.6			708	0.7	2	0.1	710	0.7
	SUTTER	2	0.7	293	99.3			295	0.3	3	0.2	298	0.3
	TEHAMA			161	100			161	0.2	1	0.1	162	0.2
	TRINITY			63	100			63	0.1			63	0.1
	TULARE	4	0.4	1044	99.6			1048	1.0	88	5.6	1136	1.1
	TUOLUMNE			38	100			38	0.0			38	0.0
	VENTURA	4	0.6	700	99.4			704	0.7	1	0.1	705	0.7
	YOLO	8	1.4	546	97.8	4	0.7	558	0.5			558	0.5
	YUBA			346	99.7	1	0.3	347	0.3	1	0.1	348	0.3
	LONG BEACH	61	1.4	4346	98.5	4	0.1	4411	4.3	2	0.1	4413	4.2
	PASADENA	3	0.6	511	99.2	1	0.2	515	0.5	1	0.1	516	0.5
	BERKELEY	7	0.4	1662	99.6			1669	1.6	145	9.2	1814	1.7
	CSU LONG BEACH	8	0.5	1693	99.4	2	0.1	1703	1.6	7	0.4	1710	1.6
	SUBTOTAL		1249	1.2	102398	98.7	116	0.1	103763	57.0	1584	25.6	105347

(Continued)

ALL SERVICES

TABLE 15. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING and TESTING SERVICES BY PROGRAM TYPE and AGENCY		TESTED								NOT TESTED		TOTAL SERVICES PROVIDED	
		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		INCONCLUSIVE		TOTAL BY AGENCY		TOTAL BY AGENCY			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PROGRAM TYPE	LHD/agency												
RURAL HEALTH PROGRAM	HUMBOLDT OPEN DOOR	1	0.4	235	95.1	11	4.5	247	17.5			247	15.7
	SAN BENITO HF			241	99.2	2	0.8	243	17.2			243	15.4
	WESTSIDE MC	2	0.3	666	99.7			668	47.3	5	3.0	673	42.6
	DM CAMARENA HC	1	0.4	241	99.6			242	17.2	162	97.0	404	25.6
	SALUD LA GENTE			11	100			11	0.8			11	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	4	0.3	1394	98.8	13	0.9	1411	0.8	167	2.7	1578	0.8
	INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM	LHD/agency											
	URBAN INDIAN HB			119	100			119	20.2			119	19.9
	ROUND VALLEY IHP			9	100			9	1.5			9	1.5
	SANTA CLARA VALLEY IHC	1	1.3	79	98.8			80	13.6	10	100	90	15.1
	CHAPA-DE IHC			37	100			37	6.3			37	6.2
	PIT RIVER	1	20.0	4	80.0			5	0.9			5	0.8
	CONSOLIDATED TRIBAL			14	100			14	2.4			14	2.3
	TOIYABE IHP			12	100			12	2.0			12	2.0
	SOUTHERN IHC			98	99.0	1	1.0	99	16.8			99	16.6
	SAN DIEGO AIHC	5	2.3	207	97.2	1	0.5	213	36.2			213	35.6
	SUBTOTAL	7	1.2	579	98.5	2	0.3	588	0.3	10	0.2	598	0.3
TOTAL BY RESULT		2267	1.2	179351	98.6	292	0.2	181910	100	6197	100	188107	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:

ATS = Alternative Test Site
 AIHC = American Indian Health Center
 CC = Community Clinic
 CMC = Community Medical Center
 CSU = California State University
 DM = Darin M.
 HB = Health Board
 HC = Health Center

HF = Health Foundation
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 IH = Indian Health
 IHC = Indian Health Center
 LHD = Local Health Department
 MC = Medical Center
 OA = Office of AIDS
 TG = Transgendered

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 16. OA-FUNDED HIV C&T SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER and RACE/ETHNICITY		RACE/ETHNICITY														TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		AFRICAN AMERICAN		AMERICAN INDIAN		ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER		LATINO		WHITE		OTHER RACE		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	1299	6.2	150	0.7	1520	7.3	5487	26.4	11628	55.9	557	2.7	175	0.8	20816	100
	SUBTOTAL	1299	6.2	150	0.7	1520	7.3	5487	26.4	11628	55.9	557	2.7	175	0.8	20816	11.4
BISEXUAL	MALE	641	12.0	54	1.0	249	4.7	1769	33.2	2390	44.9	165	3.1	54	1.0	5322	61.1
	FEMALE	683	20.2	51	1.5	123	3.6	593	17.5	1766	52.2	116	3.4	50	1.5	3382	38.9
	SUBTOTAL	1324	15.2	105	1.2	372	4.3	2362	27.1	4156	47.7	281	3.2	104	1.2	8704	4.8
IDU	MALE	1712	15.6	310	2.8	101	0.9	2484	22.6	6065	55.2	175	1.6	142	1.3	10989	57.3
	FEMALE	1089	13.7	293	3.7	78	1.0	1662	21.0	4545	57.4	140	1.8	115	1.5	7922	41.3
	TG	12	13.2	4	4.4	4	4.4	38	41.8	29	31.9	1	1.1	3	3.3	91	0.5
	UNKNOWN	43	22.8	7	3.7	1	0.5	35	18.5	77	40.7	2	1.1	24	12.7	189	1.0
	SUBTOTAL	2856	14.9	614	3.2	184	1.0	4219	22.0	10716	55.8	318	1.7	284	1.5	19191	10.5
GAY/IDU	MALE	223	12.4	32	1.8	22	1.2	368	20.4	1067	59.2	59	3.3	30	1.7	1801	100
	SUBTOTAL	223	12.4	32	1.8	22	1.2	368	20.4	1067	59.2	59	3.3	30	1.7	1801	1.0
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	251	24.0	13	1.2	32	3.1	270	25.8	441	42.2	18	1.7	21	2.0	1046	40.2
	FEMALE	302	20.8	25	1.7	50	3.4	432	29.7	597	41.1	29	2.0	19	1.3	1454	55.9
	TG	11	40.7	1	3.7	2	7.4	8	29.6	5	18.5					27	1.0
	UNKNOWN	6	8.1			1	1.4	29	39.2	29	39.2	1	1.4	8	10.8	74	2.8
	SUBTOTAL	570	21.9	39	1.5	85	3.3	739	28.4	1072	41.2	48	1.8	48	1.8	2601	1.4
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	297	11.9	34	1.4	100	4.0	451	18.1	1496	60.0	73	2.9	42	1.7	2493	100
	SUBTOTAL	297	11.9	34	1.4	100	4.0	451	18.1	1496	60.0	73	2.9	42	1.7	2493	1.4
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	1003	19.2	111	2.1	127	2.4	1593	30.5	2192	41.9	120	2.3	84	1.6	5230	48.1
	FEMALE	889	16.3	113	2.1	192	3.5	1524	27.9	2595	47.5	93	1.7	59	1.1	5465	50.2
	TG	4	11.1			2	5.6	10	27.8	18	50.0	2	5.6			36	0.3
	UNKNOWN	37	24.7	3	2.0	2	1.3	37	24.7	54	36.0	3	2.0	14	9.3	150	1.4
	SUBTOTAL	1933	17.8	227	2.1	323	3.0	3164	29.1	4859	44.7	218	2.0	157	1.4	10881	6.0
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	299	48.2	14	2.3	10	1.6	130	21.0	153	24.7	10	1.6	4	0.6	620	33.6
	FEMALE	665	59.1	12	1.1	21	1.9	162	14.4	233	20.7	15	1.3	17	1.5	1125	60.9
	TG	18	24.0			6	8.0	45	60.0	4	5.3	1	1.3	1	1.3	75	4.1
	UNKNOWN	6	22.2					9	33.3	6	22.2			6	22.2	27	1.5
	SUBTOTAL	988	53.5	26	1.4	37	2.0	346	18.7	396	21.4	26	1.4	28	1.5	1847	1.0
TRANSFUSION	MALE	92	16.2	4	0.7	45	7.9	163	28.6	246	43.2	15	2.6	4	0.7	569	43.9
	FEMALE	113	15.8	8	1.1	47	6.6	302	42.2	222	31.0	16	2.2	8	1.1	716	55.2
	TG	1	33.3			1	33.3					1	33.3			3	0.2
	UNKNOWN	2	22.2					3	33.3	1	11.1			3	33.3	9	0.7
	SUBTOTAL	208	16.0	12	0.9	93	7.2	468	36.1	469	36.2	32	2.5	15	1.2	1297	0.7

(Continued)

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 16. OA-FUNDED HIV C&T SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER and RACE/ETHNICITY		RACE/ETHNICITY														TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		AFRICAN AMERICAN		AMERICAN INDIAN		ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER		LATINO		WHITE		OTHER RACE		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	6512	18.7	343	1.0	1557	4.5	10783	30.9	14194	40.7	1023	2.9	451	1.3	34863	61.6
	FEMALE	3277	15.8	236	1.1	1652	7.9	5271	25.4	9486	45.6	616	3.0	253	1.2	20791	36.7
	TG	15	10.4			11	7.6	87	60.4	26	18.1	2	1.4	3	2.1	144	0.3
	UNKNOWN	125	15.1	12	1.5	40	4.8	183	22.1	270	32.6	24	2.9	173	20.9	827	1.5
	SUBTOTAL	9929	17.5	591	1.0	3260	5.8	16324	28.8	23976	42.3	1665	2.9	880	1.6	56625	31.1
PARTNER HAS MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	1982	14.7	160	1.2	805	6.0	4410	32.6	5629	41.6	379	2.8	151	1.1	13516	42.9
	FEMALE	2652	15.1	182	1.0	1224	7.0	6962	39.6	5904	33.6	444	2.5	229	1.3	17597	55.8
	TG	4	10.0			3	7.5	17	42.5	16	40.0					40	0.1
	UNKNOWN	46	12.0	3	0.8	22	5.7	113	29.5	116	30.3	5	1.3	78	20.4	383	1.2
	SUBTOTAL	4684	14.9	345	1.1	2054	6.5	11502	36.5	11665	37.0	828	2.6	458	1.5	31536	17.3
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE	22	7.2	3	1.0	23	7.6	82	27.0	155	51.0	13	4.3	6	2.0	304	46.6
	FEMALE	38	11.0	2	0.6	32	9.3	117	34.0	143	41.6	10	2.9	2	0.6	344	52.7
	UNKNOWN							1	20.0	2	40.0	1	20.0	1	20.0	5	0.8
	SUBTOTAL	60	9.2	5	0.8	55	8.4	200	30.6	300	45.9	24	3.7	9	1.4	653	0.4
PARENT RISK	MALE	2	18.2					9	81.8							11	52.4
	FEMALE	3	30.0					5	50.0	2	20.0					10	47.6
	SUBTOTAL	5	23.8					14	66.7	2	9.5					21	0.0
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	1384	17.0	93	1.1	528	6.5	2989	36.6	2789	34.2	249	3.1	131	1.6	8163	46.0
	FEMALE	1393	15.0	90	1.0	634	6.8	4458	48.1	2325	25.1	213	2.3	149	1.6	9262	52.1
	TG	3	10.7	1	3.6	3	10.7	11	39.3	10	35.7					28	0.2
	UNKNOWN	30	9.7	10	3.2	12	3.9	122	39.6	80	26.0	6	1.9	48	15.6	308	1.7
	SUBTOTAL	2810	15.8	194	1.1	1177	6.6	7580	42.7	5204	29.3	468	2.6	328	1.8	17761	9.8
UNKNOWN	MALE	771	26.2	16	0.5	245	8.3	774	26.3	997	33.9	66	2.2	71	2.4	2940	51.7
	FEMALE	754	30.9	18	0.7	290	11.9	722	29.6	560	23.0	47	1.9	46	1.9	2437	42.9
	TG	9	34.6			1	3.8	3	11.5	11	42.3	1	3.8	1	3.8	26	0.5
	UNKNOWN	4	1.4	1	0.4			11	3.9	7	2.5	8	2.9	249	88.9	280	4.9
	SUBTOTAL	1538	27.1	35	0.6	536	9.4	1510	26.6	1575	27.7	122	2.1	367	6.5	5683	3.1
TOTAL BY RACE/ETHNICITY		28724	15.8	2409	1.3	9818	5.4	54734	30.1	78581	43.2	4719	2.6	2925	1.6	181910	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:

IDU = Injection Drug User

OA = Office of AIDS

TG = Transgendered

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 17. 0A FUNDED C&T SERVICES: DRUG USE BY GENDER AND TEST RESULT	MALE						FEMALE						TG						TOTAL BY DRUG USE	
	POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		TOTAL DRUG USE BY GENDER		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		TOTAL DRUG USE BY GENDER		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		TOTAL DRUG USE BY GENDER			
	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%		
Alcohol																				
----used	1057	1.5	69462	98.5	70519	66.5	159	0.4	39953	99.6	40112	55.0	11	4.7	223	95.3	234	49.8	110865	61.8
----not reported	807	2.3	34686	97.7	35493	33.5	197	0.6	32580	99.4	32777	45.0	16	6.8	220	93.2	236	50.2	68506	38.2
Marijuana																				
----used	582	1.5	38712	98.5	39294	37.1	97	0.4	22067	99.6	22164	30.4	6	4.2	137	95.8	143	30.4	61601	34.3
----not reported	1282	1.9	65436	98.1	66718	62.9	259	0.5	50466	99.5	50725	69.6	21	6.4	306	93.6	327	69.6	117770	65.7
Heroin																				
----used	123	1.5	8203	98.5	8326	7.9	50	1.0	4736	99.0	4786	6.6	1	6.3	15	93.8	16	3.4	13128	7.3
----not reported	1741	1.8	95945	98.2	97686	92.1	306	0.4	67797	99.6	68103	93.4	26	5.7	428	94.3	454	96.6	166243	92.7
Barbiturates																				
----used	23	1.0	2273	99.0	2296	2.2	5	0.4	1340	99.6	1345	1.8	1	16.7	5	83.3	6	1.3	3647	2.0
----not reported	1841	1.8	101875	98.2	103716	97.8	351	0.5	71193	99.5	71544	98.2	26	5.6	438	94.4	464	98.7	175724	98.0
Tranquilizers																				
----used	26	1.0	2519	99.0	2545	2.4	4	0.3	1532	99.7	1536	2.1	1	9.1	10	90.9	11	2.3	4092	2.3
----not reported	1838	1.8	101629	98.2	103467	97.6	352	0.5	71001	99.5	71353	97.9	26	5.7	433	94.3	459	97.7	175279	97.7
Crack																				
----used	201	2.1	9226	97.9	9427	8.9	66	1.1	5848	98.9	5914	8.1	1	2.3	43	97.7	44	9.4	15385	8.6
----not reported	1663	1.7	94922	98.3	96585	91.1	290	0.4	66685	99.6	66975	91.9	26	6.1	400	93.9	426	90.6	163986	91.4
Amphetamines																				
----used	255	1.6	15699	98.4	15954	15.0	46	0.5	9683	99.5	9729	13.3	5	5.6	85	94.4	90	19.1	25773	14.4
----not reported	1609	1.8	88449	98.2	90058	85.0	310	0.5	62850	99.5	63160	86.7	22	5.8	358	94.2	380	80.9	153598	85.6
Cocaine																				
----used	273	1.6	17139	98.4	17412	16.4	63	0.7	8584	99.3	8647	11.9	2	3.2	60	96.8	62	13.2	26121	14.6
----not reported	1591	1.8	87009	98.2	88600	83.6	293	0.5	63949	99.5	64242	88.1	25	6.1	383	93.9	408	86.8	153250	85.4
Nitrates/nitrites																				
----used	71	2.5	2796	97.5	2867	2.7	2	0.2	875	99.8	877	1.2			15	100	15	3.2	3759	2.1
----not reported	1793	1.7	101352	98.3	103145	97.3	354	0.5	71658	99.5	72012	98.8	27	5.9	428	94.1	455	96.8	175612	97.9
Ecstasy																				
----used	113	1.6	7046	98.4	7159	6.8	4	0.1	3839	99.9	3843	5.3	1	2.9	33	97.1	34	7.2	11036	6.2
----not reported	1751	1.8	97102	98.2	98853	93.2	352	0.5	68694	99.5	69046	94.7	26	6.0	410	94.0	436	92.8	168335	93.8
PCP																				
----used	18	0.7	2526	99.3	2544	2.4	10	0.8	1192	99.2	1202	1.6			9	100	9	1.9	3755	2.1
----not reported	1846	1.8	101622	98.2	103468	97.6	346	0.5	71341	99.5	71687	98.4	27	5.9	434	94.1	461	98.1	175616	97.9

(Continued)

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 17. OA FUNDED C&T SERVICES: DRUG USE BY GENDER AND TEST RESULT	MALE						FEMALE						TG						TOTAL BY DRUG USE	
	POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		TOTAL DRUG USE BY GENDER		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		TOTAL DRUG USE BY GENDER		POSITIVE		NEGATIVE		TOTAL DRUG USE BY GENDER			
	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
LSD/ACID																				
----used	39	0.6	6344	99.4	6383	6.0	7	0.2	3185	99.8	3192	4.4			19	100	19	4.0	9594	5.3
----not reported	1825	1.8	97804	98.2	99629	94.0	349	0.5	69348	99.5	69697	95.6	27	6.0	424	94.0	451	96.0	169777	94.7
PSILOCYBIN																				
----used	25	0.5	4598	99.5	4623	4.4	2	0.1	2231	99.9	2233	3.1			11	100	11	2.3	6867	3.8
----not reported	1839	1.8	99550	98.2	101389	95.6	354	0.5	70302	99.5	70656	96.9	27	5.9	432	94.1	459	97.7	172504	96.2
Other Hallucinogens																				
----used	13	0.9	1362	99.1	1375	1.3	1	0.2	627	99.8	628	0.9			3	100	3	0.6	2006	1.1
----not reported	1851	1.8	102786	98.2	104637	98.7	355	0.5	71906	99.5	72261	99.1	27	5.8	440	94.2	467	99.4	177365	98.9
Other Drugs																				
----used	51	2.1	2334	97.9	2385	2.2	3	0.3	1084	99.7	1087	1.5			18	100	18	3.8	3490	1.9
----not reported	1813	1.7	101814	98.3	103627	97.8	353	0.5	71449	99.5	71802	98.5	27	6.0	425	94.0	452	96.2	175881	98.1

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:

LSD = Lysergic Acid Diethylamide [l(y)s(ergic acid) d(iethylamide)]

OA = Office of AIDS

TG = Transgendered

C&T = Counseling and Testing

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 18. NO-SHOW RATE BY PROGRAM TYPE, AGENCY and FUNDING SOURCE		NON-OA-FUNDED SERVICES				OA-FUNDED SERVICES			
		APPEARED		NO-SHOW		APPEARED		NO-SHOW	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
ATS PROGRAM	ALAMEDA	1	100			2818	72.9	1047	27.1
	BUTTE	65	67.7	31	32.3	262	77.5	76	22.5
	CALAVERAS					1	100		
	CONTRA COSTA					326	83.6	64	16.4
	FRESNO					781	80.3	192	19.7
	HUMBOLDT					686	78.6	187	21.4
	IMPERIAL					2	33.3	4	66.7
	INYO					46	85.2	8	14.8
	KERN					144	82.8	30	17.2
	LOS ANGELES	10	100			19792	77.3	5822	22.7
	MARIN					524	93.4	37	6.6
	MENDOCINO	3	100			321	87.2	47	12.8
	MERCED					107	86.3	17	13.7
	MONTEREY					325	82.9	67	17.1
	NAPA					98	77.2	29	22.8
	NEVADA			1	100	9	64.3	5	35.7
	ORANGE	1	33.3	2	66.7	2823	86.8	430	13.2
	PLACER	4	100			372	76.2	116	23.8
	RIVERSIDE					1985	80.4	485	19.6
	SACRAMENTO	1	100			938	88.8	118	11.2
	SAN BERNARDINO					1769	81.6	398	18.4
	SAN DIEGO					6101	84.6	1107	15.4
	SAN FRANCISCO					5957	91.7	537	8.3
	SAN JOAQUIN	2	50.0	2	50.0	292	81.8	65	18.2
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1	50.0	1	50.0	932	87.8	129	12.2
	SAN MATEO					72	61.0	46	39.0
	SANTA BARBARA					1710	84.7	310	15.3
	SANTA CLARA	316	87.8	44	12.2	2045	87.0	306	13.0
	SANTA CRUZ					1103	84.7	199	15.3
	SHASTA	6	100			296	79.6	76	20.4
	SOLANO	1	50.0	1	50.0	253	56.9	192	43.1
	SONOMA	1	100			1887	87.6	267	12.4
	STANISLAUS	1	33.3	2	66.7	502	79.9	126	20.1
	TULARE					337	76.8	102	23.2
	TUOLUMNE					144	86.7	22	13.3

(Continued)

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 18. NO-SHOW RATE BY PROGRAM TYPE, AGENCY and FUNDING SOURCE		NON-OA-FUNDED SERVICES				OA-FUNDED SERVICES			
		APPEARED		NO-SHOW		APPEARED		NO-SHOW	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
ATS PROGRAM	VENTURA	1	100			912	84.7	165	15.3
	YOLO					312	94.0	20	6.0
	LONG BEACH					1502	84.9	267	15.1
	PASADENA					687	92.7	54	7.3
	BERKELEY					3479	91.4	327	8.6
	SUBTOTAL	414	83.1	84	16.9	62652	82.3	13496	17.7
NON-ATS LHD	ALAMEDA	76	100			3607	70.3	1522	29.7
	AMADOR					120	88.9	15	11.1
	BUTTE	249	64.2	139	35.8	570	69.6	249	30.4
	CALAVERAS					93	82.3	20	17.7
	COLUSA					5	55.6	4	44.4
	CONTRA COSTA	35	37.6	58	62.4	1148	57.6	844	42.4
	DEL NORTE					39	75.0	13	25.0
	EL DORADO	21	87.5	3	12.5	677	69.2	301	30.8
	FRESNO	39	73.6	14	26.4	1636	67.5	789	32.5
	GLENN					101	91.8	9	8.2
	HUMBOLDT	3	60.0	2	40.0	498	84.7	90	15.3
	IMPERIAL	33	80.5	8	19.5	354	69.8	153	30.2
	INYO			6	100	13	92.9	1	7.1
	KERN	13	86.7	2	13.3	2846	68.5	1306	31.5
	KINGS	61	70.9	25	29.1	629	65.5	332	34.5
	LAKE	13	86.7	2	13.3	167	66.5	84	33.5
	LOS ANGELES	52	94.5	3	5.5	6201	75.9	1973	24.1
	MADERA					366	84.1	69	15.9
	MARIN	1	33.3	2	66.7	1594	74.9	534	25.1
	MARIPOSA					31	79.5	8	20.5
	MENDOCINO	2	100			664	85.6	112	14.4
	MERCED	1	100			415	88.7	53	11.3
	MODOC					2	40.0	3	60.0
	MONO					100	90.9	10	9.1
	MONTEREY	126	70.8	52	29.2	528	72.8	197	27.2
	NEVADA	231	70.6	96	29.4	633	78.3	175	21.7
	ORANGE	2061	76.3	639	23.7	6649	73.2	2440	26.8
	PLACER	13	65.0	7	35.0	225	61.6	140	38.4
	PLUMAS					100	83.3	20	16.7

(Continued)

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 18. NO-SHOW RATE BY PROGRAM TYPE, AGENCY and FUNDING SOURCE		NON-OA-FUNDED SERVICES				OA-FUNDED SERVICES			
		APPEARED		NO-SHOW		APPEARED		NO-SHOW	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
NON-ATS LHD	RIVERSIDE					856	61.9	527	38.1
	SACRAMENTO	1062	79.1	281	20.9	3073	63.5	1765	36.5
	SAN BENITO	1	100			28	96.6	1	3.4
	SAN BERNARDINO	104	48.8	109	51.2	2582	71.9	1009	28.1
	SAN DIEGO	1	100			6223	62.3	3764	37.7
	SAN FRANCISCO	247	53.1	218	46.9	7426	48.6	7841	51.4
	SAN JOAQUIN	32	47.1	36	52.9	1731	54.2	1460	45.8
	SAN LUIS OBISPO					1019	76.0	322	24.0
	SAN MATEO	3	60.0	2	40.0	1986	69.2	883	30.8
	SANTA BARBARA					683	78.4	188	21.6
	SANTA CLARA	1	50.0	1	50.0	1106	66.9	548	33.1
	SANTA CRUZ	187	30.7	423	69.3	189	73.0	70	27.0
	SHASTA	20	90.9	2	9.1	713	74.4	245	25.6
	SIERRA					3	42.9	4	57.1
	SISKIYOU					185	71.2	75	28.8
	SOLANO	82	88.2	11	11.8	204	54.4	171	45.6
	SONOMA	29	100			2157	67.7	1029	32.3
	STANISLAUS	451	64.6	247	35.4	535	75.6	173	24.4
	SUTTER	6	100			246	83.4	49	16.6
	TEHAMA	70	72.9	26	27.1	137	85.1	24	14.9
	TRINITY	1	100			51	81.0	12	19.0
	TULARE					742	70.8	306	29.2
	TUOLUMNE			1	100	31	81.6	7	18.4
	VENTURA	1	100			522	74.1	182	25.9
	YOLO					438	78.5	120	21.5
	YUBA	7	53.8	6	46.2	214	61.7	133	38.3
	LONG BEACH	118	86.8	18	13.2	2952	66.9	1459	33.1
	PASADENA					455	88.3	60	11.7
	BERKELEY					1127	67.5	542	32.5
	CSU LONG BEACH	1	50.0	1	50.0	1412	82.9	291	17.1
	SUBTOTAL	5454	69.1	2440	30.9	69037	66.5	34726	33.5
RURAL HEALTH PROGRAM	HUMBOLDT OPEN DOOR					174	70.4	73	29.6
	SAN BENITO HF					243	100		
	WESTSIDE MC					574	85.9	94	14.1
	DM CAMARENA HC					175	72.3	67	27.7

(Continued)

COUNSELING AND TESTING SERVICES ONLY

TABLE 18. NO-SHOW RATE BY PROGRAM TYPE, AGENCY and FUNDING SOURCE		NON-OA-FUNDED SERVICES				OA-FUNDED SERVICES			
		APPEARED		NO-SHOW		APPEARED		NO-SHOW	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
RURAL HEALTH PROGRAM	SALUD LA GENTE					11	100		
	LAGUNA BEACH CC	266	99.6	1	0.4				
	SUBTOTAL	266	99.6	1	0.4	1177	83.4	234	16.6
INDIAN HEALTH PROGRAM	URBAN INDIAN HB					84	70.6	35	29.4
	ROUND VALLEY IHP					3	33.3	6	66.7
	SANTA CLARA VALLEY IHC					62	77.5	18	22.5
	CHAPA-DE IHC					28	75.7	9	24.3
	PIT RIVER					5	100		
	CONSOLIDATED TRIBAL					7	50.0	7	50.0
	TOIYABE IHP					12	100		
	SOUTHERN IHC					63	63.6	36	36.4
	SAN DIEGO AIHC					204	95.8	9	4.2
	SUBTOTAL					468	79.6	120	20.4
DADP	ALAMEDA DADP	749	66.9	371	33.1				
	EL DORADO DADP	13	76.5	4	23.5				
	FRESNO DADP	1285	83.7	250	16.3				
	HUMBOLDT DADP	174	82.1	38	17.9				
	LOS ANGELES DADP	4027	85.3	696	14.7				
	MARIN DADP	37	67.3	18	32.7				
	MONTEREY DADP	15	71.4	6	28.6				
	PLACER DADP	279	78.8	75	21.2				
	RIVERSIDE DADP	501	71.9	196	28.1				
	SAN BERNARDINO DADP	545	47.5	602	52.5				
	SAN JOAQUIN DADP	527	88.1	71	11.9				
	SAN MATEO DADP	173	73.6	62	26.4				
	SANTA CRUZ DADP	206	66.5	104	33.5				
	YOLO DADP	196	90.7	20	9.3				
	LA-ALTAMED MTU	1824	84.3	340	15.7				
	LA-DREW MTU	517	56.4	399	43.6				
	LA-VALLEY CC MTU	1232	85.7	206	14.3				
	LA-MAP MTU	393	58.0	285	42.0				
	SUBTOTAL	12693	77.2	3743	22.8				
TOTAL BY FUNDING SOURCE and RESULT		18827	75.0	6268	25.0	133334	73.3	48576	26.7

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:

ATS = Alternative Test Site
 AIHC = American Indian Health Center
 CC = Community Clinic
 CMC = Community Medical Center
 CSU = California State University
 DADP = Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs
 DM = Darin M.

HB = Health Board
 HC = Health Center
 HF = Health Foundation
 IDU = Injection Drug User
 IH = Indian Health
 IHC = Indian Health Center
 LHD = Local Health Department
 MC = Medical Center
 OA = Office of AIDS

COUNSELING SERVICES ONLY/NO TEST

TABLE 19. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, and RACE/ETHNICITY		AFRICAN AMERICAN		AMERICAN INDIAN		ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER		LATINO		WHITE		OTHER RACE		UNKNOWN		TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE	72	4.0	11	0.6	190	10.5	200	11.0	1289	71.0	39	2.1	14	0.8	1815	100
	SUBTOTAL	72	4.0	11	0.6	190	10.5	200	11.0	1289	71.0	39	2.1	14	0.8	1815	29.3
BISEXUAL	MALE	13	7.6	1	0.6	13	7.6	29	17.0	109	63.7	4	2.3	2	1.2	171	65.3
	FEMALE	9	9.9			5	5.5	6	6.6	67	73.6	3	3.3	1	1.1	91	34.7
	SUBTOTAL	22	8.4	1	0.4	18	6.9	35	13.4	176	67.2	7	2.7	3	1.1	262	4.2
IDU	MALE	14	19.7			2	2.8	17	23.9	37	52.1			1	1.4	71	49.3
	FEMALE	7	10.3					25	36.8	33	48.5	2	2.9	1	1.5	68	47.2
	TG							2	100							2	1.4
	UNKNOWN	1	33.3							2	66.7					3	2.1
	SUBTOTAL	22	15.3			2	1.4	44	30.6	72	50.0	2	1.4	2	1.4	144	2.3
GAY/IDU	MALE	1	3.1			1	3.1	2	6.3	28	87.5					32	100
	SUBTOTAL	1	3.1			1	3.1	2	6.3	28	87.5					32	0.5
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE	2	8.7			2	8.7	3	13.0	15	65.2	1	4.3			23	46.0
	FEMALE	4	15.4			2	7.7	6	23.1	14	53.8					26	52.0
	UNKNOWN													1	100	1	2.0
	SUBTOTAL	6	12.0			4	8.0	9	18.0	29	58.0	1	2.0	1	2.0	50	0.8
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE	3	12.5			2	8.3	4	16.7	12	50.0	2	8.3	1	4.2	24	100
	SUBTOTAL	3	12.5			2	8.3	4	16.7	12	50.0	2	8.3	1	4.2	24	0.4
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE	7	13.2					27	50.9	16	30.2	2	3.8	1	1.9	53	56.4
	FEMALE	5	12.5	2	5.0			20	50.0	13	32.5					40	42.6
	UNKNOWN									1	100					1	1.1
	SUBTOTAL	12	12.8	2	2.1			47	50.0	30	31.9	2	2.1	1	1.1	94	1.5
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE	3	60.0					2	40.0							5	38.5
	FEMALE	2	33.3					1	16.7	3	50.0					6	46.2
	TG							2	100							2	15.4
	SUBTOTAL	5	38.5					5	38.5	3	23.1					13	0.2
TRANSFUSION	MALE	5	31.3	1	6.3	1	6.3	8	50.0	1	6.3					16	57.1
	FEMALE	3	25.0			3	25.0	4	33.3	2	16.7					12	42.9
	SUBTOTAL	8	28.6	1	3.6	4	14.3	12	42.9	3	10.7					28	0.5
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	169	17.8	7	0.7	45	4.8	179	18.9	508	53.6	24	2.5	15	1.6	947	59.6
	FEMALE	74	12.0	2	0.3	50	8.1	82	13.3	390	63.1	17	2.8	3	0.5	618	38.9
	UNKNOWN	5	20.8					7	29.2	4	16.7			8	33.3	24	1.5
	SUBTOTAL	248	15.6	9	0.6	95	6.0	268	16.9	902	56.8	41	2.6	26	1.6	1589	25.6
PARTNER HAS MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	45	20.5			13	5.9	100	45.5	56	25.5	6	2.7			220	36.9
	FEMALE	47	12.8	3	0.8	13	3.6	236	64.5	51	13.9	6	1.6	10	2.7	366	61.3
	TG									1	100					1	0.2

(Continued)

COUNSELING SERVICES ONLY/NO TEST

TABLE 19. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, and RACE/ETHNICITY		AFRICAN AMERICAN		AMERICAN INDIAN		ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER		LATINO		WHITE		OTHER RACE		UNKNOWN		TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PARTNER HAS MULTIPLE PARTNERS	UNKNOWN	1	10.0					1	10.0	3	30.0			5	50.0	10	1.7
	SUBTOTAL	93	15.6	3	0.5	26	4.4	337	56.4	111	18.6	12	2.0	15	2.5	597	9.6
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE									2	100					2	66.7
	FEMALE									1	100					1	33.3
	SUBTOTAL									3	100					3	0.0
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE	41	11.1			39	10.5	90	24.3	182	49.2	15	4.1	3	0.8	370	40.7
	FEMALE	32	6.1	3	0.6	28	5.3	234	44.5	211	40.1	8	1.5	10	1.9	526	57.8
	TG							1	100							1	0.1
	UNKNOWN	1	7.7			1	7.7	8	61.5	1	7.7			2	15.4	13	1.4
	SUBTOTAL	74	8.1	3	0.3	68	7.5	333	36.6	394	43.3	23	2.5	15	1.6	910	14.7
UNKNOWN	MALE	31	9.2	4	1.2	19	5.6	44	13.1	133	39.5	25	7.4	81	24.0	337	53.0
	FEMALE	22	12.8	3	1.7	11	6.4	54	31.4	50	29.1	3	1.7	29	16.9	172	27.0
	TG							2	50.0	2	50.0					4	0.6
	UNKNOWN	2	1.6					4	3.3	2	1.6	20	16.3	95	77.2	123	19.3
	SUBTOTAL	55	8.6	7	1.1	30	4.7	104	16.4	187	29.4	48	7.5	205	32.2	636	10.3
TOTAL BY RACE/ETHNICITY		621	10.0	37	0.6	440	7.1	1400	22.6	3239	52.3	177	2.9	283	4.6	6197	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:

IDU = Injection Drug User

OA = Office of AIDS

TG = Transgendered

COUNSELING SERVICES ONLY/NO TEST

TABLE 20. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, and AGE GROUP		AGE GROUP																TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0-11 YEARS		12-19 YEARS		20-29 YEARS		30-39 YEARS		40-49 YEARS		50-59 YEARS		60 YEARS & OLDER		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
HOMOSEXUAL	MALE			13	0.7	428	23.6	890	49.0	330	18.2	109	6.0	36	2.0	9	0.5	1815	100
	SUBTOTAL			13	0.7	428	23.6	890	49.0	330	18.2	109	6.0	36	2.0	9	0.5	1815	29.3
BISEXUAL	MALE			5	2.9	65	38.0	53	31.0	31	18.1	13	7.6	4	2.3			171	65.3
	FEMALE			4	4.4	55	60.4	21	23.1	10	11.0	1	1.1					91	34.7
	SUBTOTAL			9	3.4	120	45.8	74	28.2	41	15.6	14	5.3	4	1.5			262	4.2
IDU	MALE			7	9.9	20	28.2	13	18.3	23	32.4	7	9.9	1	1.4			71	49.3
	FEMALE			2	2.9	18	26.5	24	35.3	21	30.9	3	4.4					68	47.2
	TG					1	50.0					1	50.0					2	1.4
	UNKNOWN					1	33.3	1	33.3					1	33.3			3	2.1
	SUBTOTAL			9	6.3	40	27.8	38	26.4	44	30.6	11	7.6	2	1.4			144	2.3
GAY/IDU	MALE					6	18.8	12	37.5	11	34.4	2	6.3			1	3.1	32	100
	SUBTOTAL					6	18.8	12	37.5	11	34.4	2	6.3			1	3.1	32	0.5
PARTNER HIV POSITIVE	MALE					4	17.4	10	43.5	3	13.0	4	17.4	2	8.7			23	46.0
	FEMALE			1	3.8	10	38.5	3	11.5	10	38.5	1	3.8			1	3.8	26	52.0
	UNKNOWN															1	100	1	2.0
	SUBTOTAL			1	2.0	14	28.0	13	26.0	13	26.0	5	10.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	50	0.8
PARTNER OF BISEXUAL	FEMALE			3	12.5	8	33.3	9	37.5	3	12.5	1	4.2					24	100
	SUBTOTAL			3	12.5	8	33.3	9	37.5	3	12.5	1	4.2					24	0.4
PARTNER OF IDU	MALE			5	9.4	19	35.8	13	24.5	8	15.1	5	9.4	3	5.7			53	56.4
	FEMALE			10	25.0	14	35.0	10	25.0	5	12.5	1	2.5					40	42.6
	UNKNOWN					1	100											1	1.1
	SUBTOTAL			15	16.0	34	36.2	23	24.5	13	13.8	6	6.4	3	3.2			94	1.5
SEX FOR DRUGS/MONEY	MALE			2	40.0	1	20.0	1	20.0	1	20.0							5	38.5
	FEMALE			1	16.7	1	16.7	1	16.7	3	50.0							6	46.2
	TG							1	50.0	1	50.0							2	15.4
	SUBTOTAL			3	23.1	2	15.4	3	23.1	5	38.5							13	0.2
TRANSFUSION	MALE			1	6.3	9	56.3	5	31.3	1	6.3							16	57.1
	FEMALE			4	33.3	4	33.3	1	8.3	3	25.0							12	42.9
	SUBTOTAL			5	17.9	13	46.4	6	21.4	4	14.3							28	0.5
HETEROSEXUAL - /MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE	1	0.1	116	12.2	351	37.1	274	28.9	125	13.2	57	6.0	14	1.5	9	1.0	947	59.6
	FEMALE			58	9.4	320	51.8	167	27.0	54	8.7	10	1.6	2	0.3	7	1.1	618	38.9
	UNKNOWN			1	4.2	7	29.2	9	37.5			1	4.2			6	25.0	24	1.5
	SUBTOTAL	1	0.1	175	11.0	678	42.7	450	28.3	179	11.3	68	4.3	16	1.0	22	1.4	1589	25.6
PARTNER HAS MULTIPLE PARTNERS	MALE			33	15.0	78	35.5	48	21.8	37	16.8	18	8.2	6	2.7			220	36.9
	FEMALE	1	0.3	66	18.0	169	46.2	85	23.2	35	9.6	7	1.9	2	0.5	1	0.3	366	61.3

(Continued)

COUNSELING SERVICES ONLY/NO TEST

TABLE 20. OA-FUNDED HIV COUNSELING SERVICES BY RISK BEHAVIOR, GENDER, and AGE GROUP		AGE GROUP																TOTAL BY RISK/GENDER	
		0-11 YEARS		12-19 YEARS		20-29 YEARS		30-39 YEARS		40-49 YEARS		50-59 YEARS		60 YEARS & OLDER		UNKNOWN			
		NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%	NUMBER	%
PARTNER HAS MULTIPLE PARTNERS	TG					1	100											1	0.2
	UNKNOWN			1	10.0	2	20.0	2	20.0	1	10.0	1	10.0			3	30.0	10	1.7
	SUBTOTAL	1	0.2	100	16.8	250	41.9	135	22.6	73	12.2	26	4.4	8	1.3	4	0.7	597	9.6
OCCUPATIONAL	MALE					2	100											2	66.7
	FEMALE					1	100											1	33.3
	SUBTOTAL					3	100											3	0.0
NO REPORTED RISK	MALE			48	13.0	117	31.6	95	25.7	57	15.4	34	9.2	14	3.8	5	1.4	370	40.7
	FEMALE	1	0.2	88	16.7	240	45.6	117	22.2	48	9.1	19	3.6	2	0.4	11	2.1	526	57.8
	TG							1	100									1	0.1
	UNKNOWN			1	7.7	5	38.5	4	30.8	3	23.1							13	1.4
	SUBTOTAL	1	0.1	137	15.1	362	39.8	217	23.8	108	11.9	53	5.8	16	1.8	16	1.8	910	14.7
UNKNOWN	MALE			13	3.9	71	21.1	63	18.7	50	14.8	23	6.8	8	2.4	109	32.3	337	53.0
	FEMALE			22	12.8	59	34.3	35	20.3	17	9.9	5	2.9			34	19.8	172	27.0
	TG					1	25.0	3	75.0									4	0.6
	UNKNOWN			2	1.6			3	2.4	2	1.6					116	94.3	123	19.3
	SUBTOTAL			37	5.8	131	20.6	104	16.4	69	10.8	28	4.4	8	1.3	259	40.7	636	10.3
TOTAL BY AGE GROUP		3	0.0	507	8.2	2089	33.7	1974	31.9	893	14.4	323	5.2	95	1.5	313	5.1	6197	100

PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

TABLE ACRONYMS:

IDU = Injection Drug User

OA = Office of AIDS

TG = Transgendered

State of California--Health and Welfare Agency
DHHS 8458 (12/15/97)

HIV4

Department of Health Services

HIV COUNSELING INFORMATION FORM

Administrative Information

LHD/AGENCY NO. _____ SITE NO. _____
(Please circle only one choice in each column)
CLINIC TYPE: CLIENT'S TEST ELECTION:
(01) Alternative Test Site (01) Yes, Tested Anonymously
(02) Family Planning (02) Yes, Tested Confidentially
(03) STD (03) Yes, Court or Other Non-OA Test
(04) Alcohol/Drug Trtmt (04) No, Not at Risk
(05) Detention Facility (05) No, Tested Recently
(06) Primary Care (06) No, Still in Window Period
(07) HIV Test (07) No, Concern w/ Confidentiality
(08) Mobile Van Testing (08) No, Disclose Appt Not Convenient
(09) TB (09) No, Does NOT Want to Know
(10) Other Health Dept (10) No, Other Reason
(11) Other (11) Test Not Offered

Current Counseling and Test Results

COUNSELING: Date Service Provided Print Counselor Initials
Risk Assessment Session ____/____/____
Disclosure/Referral Session ____/____/____
Mail/Phone Client Contact ____/____/____
(To reset missed appointments of confidential patients)
☐ Check if oral test kit used Initials
TEST RESULT:
(1) Positive (2) Negative (3) Inconclusive
(Carefully verify anonymous clients using form information)

Place Unique
Office of AIDS
Client Number Here

DC

Alternate Billing

☐ No billing to OAFor partial billing
Check one or two☐ Risk Assessment☐ Disclosure Session☐ Laboratory Work

Client Information

Race/Ethnicity: (circle one or two choices)
1st 2nd
(1) (1) African American (not Hispanic)
(2) (2) American Indian/Alaskan Native
(3) (3) Asian/Pacific Islander
(4) (4) Hispanic/Latino(a)
(5) (5) White (not Hispanic)
(6) (6) Other, specify _____
Age (in years) _____

Gender and Pregnancy: (circle one)

- (1) Male
(2) Female
(3) Pregnant Female
(4) Transgendered: Male to Female
(5) Transgendered: Female to Male
(6) Specify: _____

Residence County _____

Residence Zip Code _____

Client was referred by: (circle one)

- (01) HIV+ partner
(02) Partner notification by health wrker
(03) OA Outreach (incentive/referral)
(04) Other outreach worker
(05) HIV education program
(06) AIDS Telephone Hotline
(07) Other AIDS agency
(08) Alcohol/drug treatment program
(09) M.D./health clinic
(10) Friend/relative
(11) Media (TV, radio, print)
(12) No identifiable referral source

Client's reason for testing: (circle one)

- (01) Reconfirming HIV+ result
(02) Reports AIDS-like symptoms
(03) Has current HIV+ partner
(04) Had past HIV+ partner
(05) TB diagnosis
(06) STD related
(07) Pregnancy
(08) Risky behavior
(09) Starting a new relationship
(10) Just wanted to know
(11) Other reason, specify: _____

Counselor: Review/Assess these Introductory Issues

- ☐ Client's reason for HIV testing.
☐ Confidentiality/anonymity.
☐ Risk assessment process.
☐ Purpose of this form.
☐ What the HIV test measures.
☐ Meaning of results
(positive, negative, inconclusive).
☐ Accuracy of the HIV test.
☐ Impact of HIV on the immune system.

Counselor: Review/Assess these Testing Issues

- ☐ Window period.
☐ Date of any follow-up test.
☐ Process of testing.
☐ Coping with waiting for test results.
☐ Client's readiness to be tested.
☐ Offer testing, if appropriate.
☐ Set immediate, short- and long-term goals.
☐ Specific HIV prevention & support referrals.
☐ Encourage the client to return for results.

Client HIV Testing History

Number of prior HIV tests: (circle one)

(0) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9+)

☐ Check if the client has used a home test kit

Last test result was (check one):

- ☐ (1) Positive ____/____ Mo / Year ☐ (3) Inconclusive ____/____ Mo / Yr
☐ (2) Negative ____/____ Mo / Year ☐ (4) Did not return for results

Establish Immediate Risk Reduction Goal(s):
(to be accomplished by client before disclosure session)

At disclosure, mark one of the following below:

- ☐ (1) No Immediate Goal was Established
☐ (2) No Effort Made ☐ (3) Attempted ☐ (4) Achieved

Short & Long Term Risk Reduction Plan:

Referrals

Client referrals: Record at both the risk assessment and disclosure sessions. Order by marking 1 for your primary referral. Other referrals should be numbered 2 or 3.

RA Disclosure

- ____ (01) NONE
____ (02) Referral list only

Other Testing

- ____ (03) CCS/HIV Children's Prgm
____ (04) Other public testing
____ (05) Home testing

Risk/Harm Reduction

- ____ (06) HIV education service
____ (07) Follow-up HIV counseling
____ (08) Prevention skill development
____ (09) Prevention support group
____ (10) Individual therapy
____ (11) Alcohol/drug treatment
____ (12) Twelve step program

HIV Positive and Other Referrals

- ____ (13) EIP
____ (14) Medical services
____ (15) Partner/spousal notification
____ (16) Case management
____ (17) Crisis intervention
____ (18) TB

Other Services

- ____ (19) STD clinic
____ (20) Family planning clinic
____ (21) Pregnancy evaluation/care
____ (22) Social services
____ (23) Domestic violence counseling
____ (24) Other, specify _____

Counselor Notes _____

Client Sexual Risk History

- (1) _____ Number of client's sex partners in the last 12 months.
(000-999 partners)

For ALL risk history boxes please enter the most recent year as follows:
0=NEVER, 1=within the last year, 2=within last 2 years, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8,
9= 9 or more years ago, D=client declined to provide information.

Mark 0 for all partners that client NEVER had sexual contact with.

Mark "D" if client declines/refuses to provide information requested.

Leave Blank only to indicate that the risk was not assessed.

Has client ever had sex with: (Key entry coding: D=*)

Record the most recent occurrence in each box: (mark as indicated above):

- (2) ☐ One or more partners who had other partners.

- (3) ☐ Male partner(s).

Sexual Activity & Barrier Use:

(Check one only for activities that apply)

Oral. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always
Vaginal. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always
Anal insertive. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always
Anal receptive. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always

- (4) ☐ Female partner(s).

Oral. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always
Vaginal. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always
Anal insertive. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always

- (5) ☐ Sex with prostitute/sex worker.

Oral. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always
Vaginal. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always
Anal insertive. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always
Anal receptive. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always

- (6) ☐ Partner(s) who injected drugs or other substances.

Oral. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always
Vaginal. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always
Anal insertive. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always
Anal receptive. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always

- (7) ☐ HIV infected partner(s).

Oral. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always
Vaginal. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always
Anal insertive. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always
Anal receptive. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always

(check as needed)

☐ Client knew of partner's HIV+ status prior to intercourse.

- (8) ☐ (Females Only) Male partner who has had sex with a male.

Oral. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always
Vaginal. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always
Anal receptive. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always

Counselor: Review/Assess Risk Reduction Methods

Condom ☐ Latex Condom ☐ Polyurethane condom
or Barrier ☐ Natural Membrane Condom ☐ Reality® condom
Types Used: ☐ Latex Barrier ☐ Latex/Vinyl Gloves
☐ Plastic Wrap ☐ Other, Specify: _____
☐ None, Why?: _____

Water based lubricant used? ☐ Never ☐ Sometimes ☐ Always

Optional Data

Item 1: _____ Item 2: _____ Item 3: _____ Item 4: _____

Other Risk History

- (9) ☐ Drug use. (If #9 is Zero, go to #10)

(mark as many as apply below)

☐ (01) alcohol ☐ (06) crack ☐ (11) PCP
☐ (02) marijuana ☐ (07) amphetamines ☐ (12) LSD
☐ (03) heroin, etc. ☐ (08) cocaine ☐ (13) psilocybin
☐ (04) barbiturates ☐ (09) nitrates/ites ☐ (14) Other hallucins.
☐ (05) tranquilizers ☐ (10) ecstasy ☐ (15) other, specify: _____

Frequency of drugs with sex: (mark one)

☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Rarely ☐ (3) Sometimes ☐ (4) Usually

- (10) ☐ Injected drugs or other substances. (If #10 is Zero, go to #11)
(mark one each below)

IDU Treatment. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Ever ☐ (3) Now

Shared Needles. ☐ (1) Yes ☐ (2) No

(If needles shared, mark next item.)

Cleaned Works. ☐ (1) Never ☐ (2) Sometimes ☐ (3) Always

- (11) ☐ Diagnosed with an STD, specify: _____

- (12) ☐ Received money/other items or services for sex.

- (13) ☐ Received drugs for sex.

- (14) ☐ Behavior resulting in other blood to blood contact (s/m,
tattooing, piercing, cuts, etc.) or that allows blood contact with
mouth, vagina or anus.

- (15) ☐ Shared objects/fingers inserted in mouth, vagina or anus.

- (16) ☐ Blood to blood exposure on the job.

- (17) ☐ Job exposure blood known to be HIV+.

For items 18, 19 and 20, check box, if appropriate.

- (18) ☐ Blood/blood product transfusion before 1985
(or in a country where blood was not tested for HIV).

- (19) ☐ Child of HIV-infected woman.

- (20) ☐ Other behavior, specify: _____

Counselor: Assess/Review Testing Issues

☐ Cultural/peer influences.
☐ Demonstrate proper condom and barrier use.
☐ Role play with client to build needed skills.
☐ Safer sex guidelines.
☐ Partner risks as they relate to client risk.
☐ Communication of HIV risk/reduction w/partner(s).
☐ Domestic violence/sexual assault.
☐ Voluntary partner/spousal notification (self or assisted).
☐ Pregnancy concerns in relation to HIV risk.
☐ Maternal transmission (in utero, at birth, breastfeeding).
☐ Integration of birth control/risk reduction.

Counselor: Assess/Review Drug and STD Issues

☐ Prevention/harm reduction/safer sex with IDUs.
☐ Demonstrate proper needle cleaning.
☐ Encourage/support substance abuse treatment and recovery.
☐ Alcohol/drug use with sex as a cofactor for HIV risk.
☐ STD history (e.g.: hepatitis, syphilis, gonorrhea, herpes, warts, chlamydia, NSU/V).
☐ Other sexual behaviors affecting STDs (rimming, etc.)
☐ Presence of STDs as a co-factor for HIV risk.
☐ Health effects of concurrent STD/HIV (e.g. PID)